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For the latest figures available from other denominations, see the 2008 edition of the Lewis Center's Clergy Age Trends Report available in the Research section of our website at www.churchleadership.com.

## Highlights of the 2011 Report

## Older Clergy Constitute Largest Share of Clergy in History

- Elders between ages 55 and 72 comprise 52 percent of all active elders, the highest percentage in history. One year ago this group reached 50 percent for the first time ever. This age cohort represented only 30 percent of active elders as recently as 2000. Previously their percentage of the total was even lower.


Percentages rounded to the nearest whole number

- The median age of elders remains at 55 in 2011, the highest in history, reached first in 2010. The median age was 50 in 2000 and 45 in 1973.


## The Percentage of Middle Age Elders Continues to Shrink

- The percentage of elders aged 35 to 54 continues to shrink, from 65 percent of all active elders in 2000 to 43 percent in 2011.


## The Number of Young Clergy Continues to Grow Slowly but Steadily

- There are more young elders, deacons, and local pastors than ten years ago.
- The numbers and percentages of young elders and local pastors grew slightly in 2011. Young deacons declined very slightly after growing much faster than elders and local pastors for several years.

The purpose of this ongoing research effort is to identify clergy age trends in the United Methodist Church so that denominational leaders have the data for planning and a baseline for monitoring future changes. This report builds on previous reports on clergy age trends issued by the Lewis Center for Church Leadership. The first report, Clergy Age Trends in the United Methodist Church: 1985-2005, documented the dramatic decline in United Methodist young clergy in both numbers and percentages over twenty years. This report presents a snapshot of where clergy age trends stand in April 2011.

These clergy age trends are further analyzed in The Crisis of Younger Clergy (Abingdon Press, 2008) by Lovett H. Weems, Jr., and Ann A. Michel. The book considers why the number of young clergy has declined so precipitously in recent decades and what can be done to reverse this trend. Drawing on clergy age data and recent survey results, the book profiles the young clergy population in the United Methodist Church. It exposes the many challenges younger clergy face while lifting up the unique gifts they have to offer.

Clergy ages are not easy to track because few units of the church have up-to-date age information on clergy. The one exception is the General Board of Pension and Health Benefits (GBOPHB). The Lewis Center for Church Leadership of Wesley Theological Seminary has worked with the Board to determine age trends for United Methodist clergy.

The project covers elders, deacons, and local pastors in the five jurisdictional conferences of the United Methodist Church. Ordained deacons as we have now in the United Methodist Church are relatively new, making historical trend comparisons limited. To have comparable figures across the years for elders, the figures include not only those ordained elder but also those commissioned on the elder track but not yet ordained. While not all clergy are in the denominational pension system, most are, and the percentage not in the system tends to stay the same across the years, thus making trend comparisons possible. Readers should keep in mind that the total number of deacons is significantly lower in this report than their presence in the denomination because more deacons than other clergy work in employment settings with pension plans other than through the GBOPHB. For local pastors, both full-time and part-time local pastors are included, as are student local pastors. Since the GBOPHB does not keep records of clergy by race, we are not able to make comparisons by racial groups.

Lovett H. Weems, Jr., distinguished professor of church leadership and founding director of the Lewis Center for Church Leadership, was project director. Joseph E. Arnold, research manager of the Lewis Center, and Ann A. Michel, associate director of the Lewis Center, were associate directors of the project. Barbara Boigegrain, general secretary of the General Board of Pension and Health Benefits, and the staff of the Board, particularly Anne Borish, Helen Exarhakos, Tanya Lerner, and Otisstean Arrington, provided essential cooperation and data. Thanks go also to the General Council on Finance and Administration for sharing data they collect and to the General Board of Higher Education and Ministry for their collaboration around enlistment and overall young clergy issues.

## Young Clergy Trends

Young Elders

- The number of under-35 elders increased from 946 in 2010 to 951 in 2011, the largest number in over a decade.
- The percentage of young elders increased from 5.47 percent in 2010 to 5.61 percent in 2011, also the highest in over a decade.
- The Oklahoma Conference reported the highest percentage of young elders at 9.84 percent.
- The complete list of the top ten conferences by percentage includes:
o Oklahoma
o Holston (\# 1 in 2005)
o Mississippi (\# 1 in 2010)
o North Alabama
o Kansas West (new to the top 10)
o Central Texas
o Virginia
o Texas (new to the top 10)
o North Carolina (new to the top 10)
o Northwest Texas (new to the top 10)
- The largest total numbers of young elders are in the Virginia Conference for the first time with 57, followed by Western North Carolina with 52.
- The young clergy gains in 2011 were more evenly spread across conferences than in previous years.
- The increase in the proportion of young clergywomen is growing. Ten years ago the ration of men to women was 2 to 1 . Today women are getting close to 40 percent of young elders.


## Young Deacons

- The number and percentage of young deacons declined slightly in 2011 after significant gains consistently for several years. There were 88 young deacons in 2011 representing 9.27 percent of deacons.
- While the number of young deacons is still small compared to young elders, their percentage of all deacons consistently runs higher than that of young elders.


## Young Local Pastors

- There are more young local pastors in 2011 (455) than at any time in recent history. Their percentage of all local pastors ( 6.2 percent) has remained relatively stable since 1995, before which it had been much lower.


## Elders

To have comparable figures across the years for elders, the figures include not only those ordained elder but also those commissioned on the elder track but not yet ordained. Since the General Board of Pension and Health Benefits does not keep records of clergy by race, we were not able to make comparisons by racial groups. Elders are counted by where they hold conference membership even if they are serving in another conference.



Elders Age Breakdown by Jurisdiction

| Jurisdiction | $\begin{gathered} \text { Under } 35 \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ | Under 35 2011 | $\begin{gathered} 35-54 \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ 35-54 \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55-72 \\ & 2011 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ 55-72 \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & 2011 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North Central | 169 | 4\% | 1,603 | 42\% | 2,034 | 54\% | 3,806 |
| Northeastern | 121 | 4\% | 1,300 | 41\% | 1,787 | 55\% | 3,208 |
| South Central | 202 | 7\% | 1,248 | 43\% | 1,459 | 50\% | 2,909 |
| Southeastern | 404 | 7\% | 2,511 | 45\% | 2,715 | 48\% | 5,630 |
| Western | 55 | 4\% | 551 | 39\% | 795 | 57\% | 1,401 |
| Total | 951 | 5.6\% | 7,213 | 42.5\% | 8,790 | 51.8\% | 16,954 |

## Gender Breakdown within Age Cohorts - Elders

|  |  | Under 35 | 35-54 | $55-72$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | Men | $66.89 \%$ | $74.19 \%$ | $75.89 \%$ |
|  | Women | $33.10 \%$ | $25.80 \%$ | $24.10 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2} 2009$ | Men | $64.02 \%$ | $72.75 \%$ | $74.28 \%$ |
|  | Women | $35.98 \%$ | $27.25 \%$ | $25.72 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | Men | $63.75 \%$ | $70.43 \%$ | $73.68 \%$ |
|  | Women | $36.25 \%$ | $29.57 \%$ | $26.32 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | Men | $61.93 \%$ | $71.06 \%$ | $73.32 \%$ |
|  | Women | $38.07 \%$ | $28.94 \%$ | $26.68 \%$ |

Median, Average, and Mode Ages by Year - Elders

| Year | Median* Age | Average Age | Mode* Age $^{\prime 2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 9 8 5}$ | 48 | 47 | 54 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ | 47 | 48 | 43 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | 48 | 48 | 48 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 50 | 50 | 53 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | 52 | 52 | 58 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | 53 | 52 | 59 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | 53 | 52 | 59 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | 54 | 52 | 56 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | 54 | 54 | 57 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | 55 | 53 | 58 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | *Median - half older, half younger <br> *Mode - single age most represented |  |  |

Data on Age Trends for Elders 1985-2011

| Year | No. of Elders | Elders under 35 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \\ \text { Elders } \\ \text { under } \\ 35 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Elders } \\ 35-54 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Elders } \\ 55-72 \end{gathered}$ | \% Elders 55-72 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1985 | 21,378 | 3,219 | 15.06\% | 12,305 | 57.56\% | 5,854 | 27.38\% |
| 1990 | 21,507 | 2,385 | 11.09\% | 12,678 | 58.95\% | 6,444 | 29.96\% |
| 1995 | 20,117 | 1,312 | 6.52\% | 12,843 | 63.84\% | 5,962 | 29.64\% |
| 2000 | 18,576 | 906 | 4.88\% | 12,005 | 64.63\% | 5,665 | 30.50\% |
| 2005 | 18,141 | 850 | 4.69\% | 9,872 | 54.42\% | 7,419 | 40.90\% |
| 2006 | 18,005 | 881 | 4.89\% | 9,482 | 52.66\% | 7,642 | 42.44\% |
| 2007 | 17,800 | 876 | 4.92\% | 9,032 | 50.74\% | 7,892 | 44.34\% |
| 2008 | 17,480 | 910 | 5.21\% | 8,587 | 49.12\% | 7,983 | 45.67\% |
| 2009 | 17,254 | 906 | 5.25\% | 8,139 | 47.17\% | 8,209 | 47.58\% |
| 2010 | 17,293 | 946 | 5.47\% | 7,698 | 44.52\% | 8,649 | 50.01\% |
| 2011 | 16,954 | 951 | 5.61\% | 7,213 | 42.55\% | 8,790 | 51.84\% |

## Mean, Median, and Mode Ages by Conference

Median - half older, half younger Mode - single age most represented
Some have no mode value because no unique mode exists (no single age occurred more frequently than another).

| CONFERENCE NAME | 2011 <br> Mean | 2011 <br> Median | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ <br> Mode |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama-West Florida | 52 | 54 | -- |
| Alaska Missionary | -- | -- | -- |
| Arkansas | 51 | 54 | 62 |
| Baltimore-Washington | 54 | 56 | 56 |
| California-Nevada | 55 | 57 | 59 |
| California-Pacific | 53 | 54 | -- |
| Central Texas | 53 | 55 | 54 |
| Dakotas | 54 | 57 | 59 |
| Desert Southwest | 55 | 58 | 58 |
| Detroit | 54 | 56 | 60 |
| East Ohio | 54 | 55 | 61 |
| Eastern Pennsylvania | 54 | 55 | 58 |
| Florida | 53 | 54 | -- |
| Greater New Jersey | 54 | 56 | 62 |
| Holston | 51 | 53 | 60 |
| Illinois Great Rivers | 53 | 55 | -- |
| Indiana | 53 | 55 | 57 |
| lowa | 54 | 56 | 59 |
| Kansas East | 54 | 56 | 59 |
| Kansas West | 54 | 57 | -- |
| Kentucky | 53 | 54 | 59 |
| Louisiana | 53 | 54 | 54 |
| Memphis | 55 | 57 | 63 |
| Minnesota | 53 | 54 | -- |
| Mississippi | 51 | 53 | 55 |
| Missouri | 52 | 54 | 57 |
| Nebraska | 55 | 56 | 59 |
| New England* | 53 | 55 | 60 |
| New Mexico | 56 | 57 | 62 |
| New York |  |  |  |
|  | -- |  |  |


| CONFERENCE NAME | 2011 <br> Mean | 2011 <br> Median | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ <br> Mode |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North Alabama | 51 | 53 | -- |
| North Carolina | 52 | 54 | 58 |
| North Georgia | 52 | 53 | 53 |
| North Texas | 53 | 54 | 62 |
| Northern Illinois | 53 | 55 | 62 |
| Northwest Texas | 53 | 55 | 61 |
| Oklahoma | 52 | 54 | 59 |
| Oklahoma Indian Missionary | 60 | 58 | 57 |
| Oregon-Idaho | 55 | 57 | -- |
| Pacific Northwest | 54 | 57 | 63 |
| Peninsula-Delaware | 56 | 57 | -- |
| Red Bird Missionary | 64 | 64 | -- |
| Rio Grande | 53 | 52 | 53 |
| Rocky Mountain | 53 | 56 | -- |
| South Carolina | 52 | 55 | 62 |
| South Georgia | 54 | 57 | -- |
| Southwest Texas | 53 | 55 | 54 |
| Susquehanna* | 52 | 55 | -- |
| Tennessee | 51 | 53 | -- |
| Texas | 55 | 56 | 64 |
| Upper New York* | 52 | 54 | 55 |
| Virginia | 55 | 56 | 63 |
| West Michigan | 53 | 54 | 58 |
| West Ohio | 53 | 55 | 57 |
| West Virginia | 54 | 53 | 59 |
| Western North Carolina | 57 | 57 |  |
| Western Pennsylvania | 58 | 61 |  |
| Wisconsin | 58 |  |  |
| Yellowstone |  |  |  |
|  |  | 53 |  |

*Since last year's report, the Susquehanna Conference was formed from the Central Pennsylvania Conference and part of the Wyoming Conference. The Upper New York Conference was formed from the Western New York and North Central New York Conferences along with portions of the Troy and Wyoming Conferences. A portion of the Troy Conference is now part of the New England Conference.

## Presence of Elders under 35

by Annual Conference 2009 to 2011

| CONFERENCE | 2011 <br> Elders under 35 | 2011 <br> Elders <br> under <br> 35 | $2011$ <br> Elders | 2010 Elders under 35 | 2010 Elders under 35 | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 \\ & \text { Elders } \end{aligned}$ | 2009 Elders under | 2009 Elders under 35 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 2009 \\ \text { Elders } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama-West Florida | 20 | 7.38\% | 271 | 23 | 8.10\% | 284 | 16 | 5.56\% | 288 |
| Alaska Missionary | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0.00\% | 4 | 1 | 33.33\% | 3 |
| Arkansas | 20 | 7.41\% | 270 | 22 | 8.09\% | 272 | 23 | 8.61\% | 267 |
| Baltimore-Washington | 15 | 3.86\% | 389 | 17 | 4.18\% | 407 | 19 | 4.51\% | 421 |
| California-Nevada | 7 | 2.41\% | 291 | 9 | 2.93\% | 307 | 8 | 2.56\% | 313 |
| California-Pacific | 15 | 4.07\% | 369 | 10 | 2.65\% | 378 | 12 | 3.15\% | 381 |
| Central Texas | 21 | 8.61\% | 244 | 25 | 9.92\% | 252 | 21 | 8.20\% | 256 |
| Dakotas | 8 | 5.59\% | 143 | 7 | 4.73\% | 148 | 8 | 5.59\% | 143 |
| Desert Southwest | 3 | 2.34\% | 128 | 4 | 3.03\% | 132 | 5 | 3.76\% | 133 |
| Detroit | 10 | 3.65\% | 274 | 11 | 3.82\% | 288 | 12 | 4.07\% | 295 |
| East Ohio | 15 | 4.25\% | 353 | 14 | 3.83\% | 366 | 18 | 4.66\% | 386 |
| Eastern Pennsylvania | 7 | 2.41\% | 291 | 5 | 1.70\% | 294 | 5 | 1.74\% | 288 |
| Florida | 21 | 4.36\% | 482 | 19 | 3.89\% | 489 | 22 | 4.40\% | 500 |
| Greater New Jersey | 15 | 3.88\% | 387 | 17 | 4.35\% | 391 | 15 | 3.76\% | 399 |
| Holston | 33 | 9.79\% | 337 | 32 | 9.50\% | 337 | 32 | 9.82\% | 326 |
| Illinois Great Rivers | 15 | 4.17\% | 360 | 21 | 5.66\% | 371 | 17 | 4.59\% | 370 |
| Indiana | 34 | 6.02\% | 565 | 34 | 5.75\% | 591 | 32 | 5.35\% | 598 |
| Iowa | 19 | 5.01\% | 379 | 19 | 4.81\% | 395 | 18 | 4.63\% | 389 |
| Kansas East | 7 | 4.70\% | 149 | 7 | 4.52\% | 155 | 7 | 4.46\% | 157 |
| Kansas West | 16 | 8.74\% | 183 | 11 | 6.29\% | 175 | 10 | 5.78\% | 173 |
| Kentucky | 15 | 5.60\% | 268 | 12 | 4.44\% | 270 | 10 | 3.69\% | 271 |
| Louisiana | 16 | 7.17\% | 223 | 24 | 10.39\% | 231 | 18 | 7.96\% | 226 |
| Memphis | 6 | 3.43\% | 175 | 8 | 4.52\% | 177 | 7 | 3.93\% | 178 |
| Minnesota | 15 | 6.55\% | 229 | 17 | 6.75\% | 252 | 15 | 6.00\% | 250 |
| Mississippi | 35 | 9.56\% | 366 | 41 | 11.11\% | 369 | 41 | 11.11\% | 369 |
| Missouri | 22 | 6.67\% | 330 | 22 | 6.65\% | 331 | 20 | 6.12\% | 327 |
| Nebraska | 7 | 4.35\% | 161 | 4 | 2.44\% | 164 | 4 | 2.40\% | 167 |
| New England | 9 | 2.85\% | 316 | 5 | 1.69\% | 296 | 8 | 2.65\% | 302 |
| New Mexico | 6 | 6.06\% | 99 | 5 | 5.05\% | 99 | 4 | 4.21\% | 95 |
| New York | 8 | 2.48\% | 322 | 8 | 2.48\% | 323 | 7 | 2.42\% | 289 |

## Elders

## Presence of Elders under 35

by Annual Conference
2009 to 2011, continued

| CONFERENCE | 2011 Elders under 35 | 2011 <br> Elders <br> under $35$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \text { Elders } \end{gathered}$ | 2010 <br> Elders <br> under $35$ | 2010 <br> Elders <br> under $35$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { Elders } \end{gathered}$ | 2009 Elders under 35 | 2009 <br> Elders under 35 | $\begin{gathered} 2009 \\ \text { Elders } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North Alabama | 31 | 8.83\% | 351 | 26 | 7.83\% | 332 | 23 | 6.78\% | 339 |
| North Carolina | 33 | 7.60\% | 434 | 32 | 7.06\% | 453 | 28 | 6.22\% | 450 |
| North Georgia | 39 | 6.75\% | 578 | 37 | 6.43\% | 575 | 35 | 6.06\% | 578 |
| North Texas | 9 | 3.33\% | 270 | 9 | 3.30\% | 273 | 17 | 6.25\% | 272 |
| Northern Illinois | 17 | 5.41\% | 314 | 18 | 5.71\% | 315 | 17 | 5.47\% | 311 |
| Northwest Texas | 9 | 7.44\% | 121 | 9 | 7.63\% | 118 | 7 | 6.19\% | 113 |
| Oklahoma | 31 | 9.84\% | 315 | 30 | 9.12\% | 329 | 28 | 8.51\% | 329 |
| Oklahoma Indian Missionary | 0 | 0.00\% | 15 | 0 | 0.00\% | 14 | 0 | 0.00\% | 14 |
| Oregon-Idaho | 6 | 3.77\% | 159 | 9 | 5.45\% | 165 | 6 | 4.29\% | 140 |
| Pacific Northwest | 11 | 5.42\% | 203 | 8 | 3.81\% | 210 | 6 | 2.91\% | 206 |
| Peninsula-Delaware | 2 | 1.19\% | 168 | 3 | 1.70\% | 176 | 3 | 1.71\% | 175 |
| Red Bird Missionary | 0 | 0.00\% | 2 | 0 | 0.00\% | 2 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 |
| Rio Grande | 0 | 0.00\% | 37 | 0 | 0.00\% | 41 | 0 | 0.00\% | 42 |
| Rocky Mountain | 12 | 6.00\% | 200 | 11 | 5.12\% | 215 | 12 | 5.66\% | 212 |
| South Carolina | 31 | 7.01\% | 442 | 27 | 5.84\% | 462 | 28 | 5.98\% | 468 |
| South Georgia | 18 | 6.29\% | 286 | 18 | 6.25\% | 288 | 19 | 6.57\% | 289 |
| Southwest Texas | 12 | 5.24\% | 229 | 8 | 3.42\% | 234 | 6 | 2.59\% | 232 |
| Susquehanna | 18 | 4.88\% | 369 | 21 | 4.98\% | 421 | 23 | 5.51\% | 417 |
| Tennessee | 13 | 6.31\% | 206 | 17 | 8.29\% | 205 | 10 | 4.90\% | 204 |
| Texas | 33 | 7.78\% | 424 | 23 | 5.44\% | 423 | 21 | 4.88\% | 430 |
| Upper New York | 14 | 3.87\% | 362 | 11 | 3.15\% | 349 | 9 | 2.66\% | 338 |
| Virginia | 57 | 8.51\% | 670 | 56 | 8.40\% | 667 | 46 | 6.94\% | 663 |
| West Michigan | 5 | 2.46\% | 203 | 4 | 1.92\% | 208 | 6 | 2.79\% | 215 |
| West Ohio | 13 | 2.34\% | 556 | 14 | 2.40\% | 584 | 15 | 2.55\% | 588 |
| West Virginia | 13 | 5.58\% | 233 | 16 | 6.67\% | 240 | 17 | 7.05\% | 241 |
| Western North Carolina | 52 | 6.82\% | 762 | 57 | 7.54\% | 756 | 62 | 8.36\% | 742 |
| Western Pennsylvania | 20 | 5.39\% | 371 | 16 | 4.23\% | 378 | 15 | 3.96\% | 379 |
| Wisconsin | 11 | 4.09\% | 269 | 12 | 4.55\% | 264 | 11 | 4.17\% | 264 |
| Yellowstone | 1 | 1.96\% | 51 | 1 | 2.08\% | 48 | 1 | 2.33\% | 43 |
| Total | 951 | 5.61\% | 16,954 | 946 | 5.47\% | 17,291 | 906 | 5.25\% | 17,254 |

Elders

## Presence of Elders under 35 <br> by 2011 Percentage <br> 2009 to 2011

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ <br> Elders <br> under <br> $\mathbf{3 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ <br> Elders <br> under <br> $\mathbf{3 5}$ | 2011 <br> Elders | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ <br> Elders <br> under <br> $\mathbf{3 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ <br> Elders <br> under <br> $\mathbf{3 5}$ | 2010 <br> Elders | Elders <br> under <br> $\mathbf{3 5}$ | 2009 <br> Elders <br> under <br> $\mathbf{3 5}$ | 2009 <br> Elders |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oklahoma | 31 | $9.84 \%$ | 315 | 30 | $9.12 \%$ | 329 | 28 | $8.51 \%$ | 329 |
| Holston | 33 | $9.79 \%$ | 337 | 32 | $9.50 \%$ | 337 | 32 | $9.82 \%$ | 326 |
| Mississippi | 35 | $9.56 \%$ | 366 | 41 | $11.11 \%$ | 369 | 41 | $11.11 \%$ | 369 |
| North Alabama | 31 | $8.83 \%$ | 351 | 26 | $7.83 \%$ | 332 | 23 | $6.78 \%$ | 339 |
| Kansas West | 16 | $8.74 \%$ | 183 | 11 | $6.29 \%$ | 175 | 10 | $5.78 \%$ | 173 |
| Central Texas | 21 | $8.61 \%$ | 244 | 25 | $9.92 \%$ | 252 | 21 | $8.20 \%$ | 256 |
| Virginia | 57 | $8.51 \%$ | 670 | 56 | $8.40 \%$ | 667 | 46 | $6.94 \%$ | 663 |
| Texas | 33 | $7.78 \%$ | 424 | 23 | $5.44 \%$ | 423 | 21 | $4.88 \%$ | 430 |
| North Carolina | 33 | $7.60 \%$ | 434 | 32 | $7.06 \%$ | 453 | 28 | $6.22 \%$ | 450 |
| Northwest Texas | 9 | $7.44 \%$ | 121 | 9 | $7.63 \%$ | 118 | 7 | $6.19 \%$ | 113 |
| Arkansas | 20 | $7.41 \%$ | 270 | 22 | $8.09 \%$ | 272 | 23 | $8.61 \%$ | 267 |
| Alabama-West Florida | 20 | $7.38 \%$ | 271 | 23 | $8.10 \%$ | 284 | 16 | $5.56 \%$ | 288 |
| Louisiana | 16 | $7.17 \%$ | 223 | 24 | $10.39 \%$ | 231 | 18 | $7.96 \%$ | 226 |
| South Carolina | 31 | $7.01 \%$ | 442 | 27 | $5.84 \%$ | 462 | 28 | $5.98 \%$ | 468 |
| Western North Carolina | 52 | $6.82 \%$ | 762 | 57 | $7.54 \%$ | 756 | 62 | $8.36 \%$ | 742 |
| North Georgia | 39 | $6.75 \%$ | 578 | 37 | $6.43 \%$ | 575 | 35 | $6.06 \%$ | 578 |
| Missouri | 22 | $6.67 \%$ | 330 | 22 | $6.65 \%$ | 331 | 20 | $6.12 \%$ | 327 |
| Minnesota | 15 | $6.55 \%$ | 229 | 17 | $6.75 \%$ | 252 | 15 | $6.00 \%$ | 250 |
| Tennessee | 13 | $6.31 \%$ | 206 | 17 | $8.29 \%$ | 205 | 10 | $4.90 \%$ | 204 |
| South Georgia | 18 | $6.29 \%$ | 286 | 18 | $6.25 \%$ | 288 | 19 | $6.57 \%$ | 289 |
| New Mexico | 6 | $6.06 \%$ | 99 | 5 | $5.05 \%$ | 99 | 4 | $4.21 \%$ | 95 |
| Indiana | 34 | $6.02 \%$ | 565 | 34 | $5.75 \%$ | 591 | 32 | $5.35 \%$ | 598 |
| Rocky Mountain | 12 | $6.00 \%$ | 200 | 11 | $5.12 \%$ | 215 | 12 | $5.66 \%$ | 212 |
| Kentucky | 15 | $5.60 \%$ | 268 | 12 | $4.44 \%$ | 270 | 10 | $3.69 \%$ | 271 |
| Dakotas | 8 | $5.59 \%$ | 143 | 7 | $4.73 \%$ | 148 | 8 | $5.59 \%$ | 143 |
| West Virginia | 13 | $5.58 \%$ | 233 | 16 | $6.67 \%$ | 240 | 17 | $7.05 \%$ | 241 |
| Pacific Northwest | 11 | $5.42 \%$ | 203 | 8 | $3.81 \%$ | 210 | 6 | $2.91 \%$ | 206 |
| Northern Illinois | 17 | $5.41 \%$ | 314 | 18 | $5.71 \%$ | 315 | 17 | $5.47 \%$ | 311 |
| Western Pennsylvania | 20 | $5.39 \%$ | 371 | 16 | $4.23 \%$ | 378 | 15 | $3.96 \%$ | 379 |
| Southwest Texas | 12 | $5.24 \%$ | 229 | 8 | $3.42 \%$ | 234 | 6 | $2.59 \%$ | 232 |

## Presence of Elders under 35 <br> by 2011 Percentage <br> 2009 to 2011, continued

|  | 2011 <br> Elders <br> under <br> $\mathbf{3 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ <br> Elders <br> under <br> $\mathbf{3 5}$ | 2011 <br> Elders | 2010 <br> Elders <br> under <br> $\mathbf{3 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ <br> Elders <br> under <br> $\mathbf{3 5}$ | 2010 <br> Elders | 2009 <br> Elders <br> conder <br> $\mathbf{3 5}$ | 2009 <br> Elders <br> under <br> $\mathbf{3 5}$ | 2009 <br> Elders |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Iowa | 19 | $5.01 \%$ | 379 | 19 | $4.81 \%$ | 395 | 18 | $4.63 \%$ | 389 |
| Susquehanna | 18 | $4.88 \%$ | 369 | 21 | $4.98 \%$ | 421 | 23 | $5.51 \%$ | 417 |
| Kansas East | 7 | $4.70 \%$ | 149 | 7 | $4.52 \%$ | 155 | 7 | $4.46 \%$ | 157 |
| Florida | 21 | $4.36 \%$ | 482 | 19 | $3.89 \%$ | 489 | 22 | $4.40 \%$ | 500 |
| Nebraska | 7 | $4.35 \%$ | 161 | 4 | $2.44 \%$ | 164 | 4 | $2.40 \%$ | 167 |
| East Ohio | 15 | $4.25 \%$ | 353 | 14 | $3.83 \%$ | 366 | 18 | $4.66 \%$ | 386 |
| Illinois Great Rivers | 15 | $4.17 \%$ | 360 | 21 | $5.66 \%$ | 371 | 17 | $4.59 \%$ | 370 |
| Wisconsin | 11 | $4.09 \%$ | 269 | 12 | $4.55 \%$ | 264 | 11 | $4.17 \%$ | 264 |
| California-Pacific | 15 | $4.07 \%$ | 369 | 10 | $2.65 \%$ | 378 | 12 | $3.15 \%$ | 381 |
| Greater New Jersey | 15 | $3.88 \%$ | 387 | 17 | $4.35 \%$ | 391 | 15 | $3.76 \%$ | 399 |
| Upper New York | 14 | $3.87 \%$ | 362 | 11 | $3.15 \%$ | 349 | 9 | $2.66 \%$ | 338 |
| Baltimore-Washington | 15 | $3.86 \%$ | 389 | 17 | $4.18 \%$ | 407 | 19 | $4.51 \%$ | 421 |
| Oregon-Idaho | 6 | $3.77 \%$ | 159 | 9 | $5.45 \%$ | 165 | 6 | $4.29 \%$ | 140 |
| Detroit | 10 | $3.65 \%$ | 274 | 11 | $3.82 \%$ | 288 | 12 | $4.07 \%$ | 295 |
| Memphis | 6 | $3.43 \%$ | 175 | 8 | $4.52 \%$ | 177 | 7 | $3.93 \%$ | 178 |
| North Texas | 9 | $3.33 \%$ | 270 | 9 | $3.30 \%$ | 273 | 17 | $6.25 \%$ | 272 |
| New England | 9 | $2.85 \%$ | 316 | 5 | $1.69 \%$ | 296 | 8 | $2.65 \%$ | 302 |
| New York | 8 | $2.48 \%$ | 322 | 8 | $2.48 \%$ | 323 | 7 | $2.42 \%$ | 289 |
| West Michigan | 5 | $2.46 \%$ | 203 | 4 | $1.92 \%$ | 208 | 6 | $2.79 \%$ | 215 |
| California-Nevada | 7 | $2.41 \%$ | 291 | 9 | $2.93 \%$ | 307 | 8 | $2.56 \%$ | 313 |
| Eastern Pennsylvania | 7 | $2.41 \%$ | 291 | 5 | $1.70 \%$ | 294 | 5 | $1.74 \%$ | 288 |
| Desert Southwest | 3 | $2.34 \%$ | 128 | 4 | $3.03 \%$ | 132 | 5 | $3.76 \%$ | 133 |
| West Ohio | 13 | $2.34 \%$ | 556 | 14 | $2.40 \%$ | 584 | 15 | $2.55 \%$ | 588 |
| Yellowstone | 1 | $1.96 \%$ | 51 | 1 | $2.08 \%$ | 48 | 1 | $2.33 \%$ | 43 |
| Peninsula-Delaware | 2 | $1.19 \%$ | 168 | 3 | $1.70 \%$ | 176 | 3 | $1.71 \%$ | 175 |
| Alaska Missionary | 0 | $0.00 \%$ | 0 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ | 4 | 1 | $33.33 \%$ | 3 |
| Oklahoma Indian Missionary | 0 | $0.00 \%$ | 15 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ | 14 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ | 14 |
| Red Bird Missionary | 0 | $0.00 \%$ | 2 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ | 2 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ | 0 |
| Rio Grande | 0 | $0.00 \%$ | 37 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ | 41 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ | 42 |
| Total | 951 | $5.61 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 6 , 9 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{9 4 6}$ | $5.47 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 7 , 2 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 6}$ | $5.25 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 7 , 2 5 4}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Deacons

Ordained deacons as we have now in the United Methodist Church are relatively new, making trend comparisons over many years difficult; however, we do report current age data. We include not only those who have been ordained deacon but also those who have been commissioned on the deacon track but not yet ordained. Readers should keep in mind that the number of total deacons is significantly lower in this report than their presence in the denomination because more deacons than other clergy work in employment settings with pension plans other than through the General Board.



## Median, Average, and Mode Ages - Deacons by Year

| Year | Median* Age | Average Age | Mode* Age $^{*}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | 52 | 51 | 51 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | 53 | 51 | - |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | 53 | 51 | 53 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | 54 | 52 | 54 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | 54 | 52 | 55 |

*Median - half older, half younger *Mode - single age most represented Some groups have no mode value because no unique mode exists--no age occurred more frequently than another.

Gender Breakdown within Age Cohorts - Deacons

|  |  | Under 35 | $\mathbf{3 5 - 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 - 7 2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | Men | $37.50 \%$ | $31.51 \%$ | $19.42 \%$ |
|  | Women | $62.50 \%$ | $68.49 \%$ | $80.58 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | Men | $35.00 \%$ | $32.65 \%$ | $28.54 \%$ |
|  | Women | $65.00 \%$ | $67.35 \%$ | $71.46 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | Men | $38.20 \%$ | $31.98 \%$ | $23.93 \%$ |
|  | Women | $61.79 \%$ | $68.02 \%$ | $76.07 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | Men | $37.50 \%$ | $29.65 \%$ | $25.49 \%$ |
|  | Women | $62.50 \%$ | $70.35 \%$ | $74.51 \%$ |

Deacons Under 35 by Jurisdiction

| Jurisdiction | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North Central | 18 | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | 14 |
| Northeastern | 3 | 5 | 3 |
| South Central | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| Southeastern | 46 | 45 | 40 |
| Western | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| Total | 88 | 89 | 77 |

Data on Age Trends for Deacons 2006-2011

| Year | No. of Deacons | No. of Deacons Under 35 | \% <br> Deacons Under 35 | No. of Deacons 35-54 | \% <br> Deacons 35-54 | No. of Deacons 55-72 | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Deacons } \\ 55-72 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2006 | 844 | 52 | 6.16\% | 438 | 51.90\% | 354 | 41.94\% |
| 2007 | 902 | 64 | 7.10\% | 457 | 50.67\% | 381 | 42.24\% |
| 2008 | 897 | 69 | 7.69\% | 441 | 49.16\% | 387 | 43.14\% |
| 2009 | 915 | 77 | 8.42\% | 438 | 47.87\% | 400 | 43.72\% |
| 2010 | 930 | 89 | 9.57\% | 419 | 45.05\% | 422 | 45.38\% |
| 2011 | 949 | 88 | 9.27\% | 398 | 41.94\% | 463 | 48.79\% |

## Presence of Deacons under 35 by Annual Conference 2009 to 2011

| CONFERENCE NAME | 2011 <br> Deacons under 35 | 2011 <br> Deacons under 35 | $2011$ <br> Deacons | 2010 <br> Deacons under 35 | 2010 Deacons under 35 | $2010$ <br> Deacons | $2009$ <br> Deacons under 35 | $2009$ <br> Deacons under 35 | $\begin{gathered} 2009 \\ \text { Deacons } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama-West Florida | 5 | 13.51\% | 37 | 18.92\% | 7 | 37 | 13.89\% | 5 | 36 |
| Alaska Missionary | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0 |
| Arkansas | 0 | 0.00\% | 15 | 0.00\% | 0 | 16 | 0.00\% | 0 | 19 |
| Baltimore-Washington | 0 | 0.00\% | 22 | 0.00\% | 0 | 23 | 0.00\% | 0 | 23 |
| California-Nevada | 1 | 20.00\% | 5 | 0.00\% | 0 | 7 | 9.09\% | 1 | 11 |
| California-Pacific | 1 | 6.25\% | 16 | 5.88\% | 1 | 17 | 5.26\% | 1 | 19 |
| Central Texas | 2 | 7.41\% | 27 | 11.54\% | 3 | 26 | 7.69\% | 2 | 26 |
| Dakotas | 0 | 0.00\% | 1 | 0.00\% | 0 | 2 | 0.00\% | 0 | 4 |
| Desert Southwest | 0 | 0.00\% | 11 | 0.00\% | 0 | 11 | 0.00\% | 0 | 11 |
| Detroit | 4 | 20.00\% | 20 | 22.22\% | 4 | 18 | 12.50\% | 2 | 16 |
| East Ohio | 0 | 0.00\% | 13 | 6.25\% | 1 | 16 | 0.00\% | 0 | 18 |
| Eastern Pennsylvania | 0 | 0.00\% | 12 | 0.00\% | 0 | 6 | 0.00\% | 0 | 6 |
| Florida | 3 | 10.71\% | 28 | 6.67\% | 2 | 30 | 9.38\% | 3 | 32 |
| Greater New Jersey | 1 | 7.14\% | 14 | 16.67\% | 3 | 18 | 12.50\% | 2 | 16 |
| Holston | 2 | 15.38\% | 13 | 15.38\% | 2 | 13 | 8.33\% | 1 | 12 |
| Illinois Great Rivers | 0 | 0.00\% | 12 | 0.00\% | 0 | 10 | 0.00\% | 0 | 10 |
| Indiana | 3 | 17.65\% | 17 | 15.79\% | 3 | 19 | 0.00\% | 0 | 16 |
| Iowa | 0 | 0.00\% | 8 | 15.38\% | 2 | 13 | 7.69\% | 1 | 13 |
| Kansas East | 0 | 0.00\% | 9 | 12.50\% | 1 | 8 | 0.00\% | 0 | 8 |
| Kansas West | 2 | 16.67\% | 12 | 0.00\% | 0 | 11 | 0.00\% | 0 | 7 |
| Kentucky | 0 | 0.00\% | 27 | 3.70\% | 1 | 27 | 7.41\% | 2 | 27 |
| Louisiana | 2 | 9.09\% | 22 | 9.09\% | 2 | 22 | 9.09\% | 2 | 22 |
| Memphis | 1 | 7.69\% | 13 | 8.33\% | 1 | 12 | 8.33\% | 1 | 12 |
| Minnesota | 3 | 16.67\% | 18 | 20.00\% | 3 | 15 | 18.75\% | 3 | 16 |
| Mississippi | 3 | 12.00\% | 25 | 8.00\% | 2 | 25 | 8.33\% | 2 | 24 |
| Missouri | 0 | 0.00\% | 20 | 0.00\% | 0 | 20 | 0.00\% | 0 | 20 |
| Nebraska | 0 | 0.00\% | 1 | 0.00\% | 0 | 1 | 0.00\% | 0 | 1 |
| New England | 1 | 20.00\% | 5 | 33.33\% | 1 | 3 | 0.00\% | 0 | 4 |
| New Mexico | 0 | 0.00\% | 5 | 0.00\% | 0 | 8 | 0.00\% | 0 | 7 |
| New York | 0 | 0.00\% | 6 | 0.00\% | 0 | 5 | 0.00\% | 0 | 3 |

## Presence of Deacons under 35 by Annual Conference 2009 to 2011, continued

| CONFERENCE NAME | 2011 <br> Deacons under 35 | 2011 Deacons under 35 | $\begin{aligned} & 2011 \\ & \text { Deacons } \end{aligned}$ | 2010 Deacons under 35 | 2010 Deacons under 35 | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 \\ & \text { Deacons } \end{aligned}$ | 2009 Deacons under 35 | 2009 Deacons under 35 | $\begin{gathered} 2009 \\ \text { Deacons } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North Alabama | 0 | 0.00\% | 12 | 15.38\% | 2 | 13 | 7.69\% | 1 | 13 |
| North Carolina | 0 | 0.00\% | 24 | 0.00\% | 0 | 24 | 0.00\% | 0 | 22 |
| North Georgia | 9 | 15.52\% | 58 | 18.52\% | 10 | 54 | 19.61\% | 10 | 51 |
| North Texas | 4 | 10.26\% | 39 | 7.89\% | 3 | 38 | 13.51\% | 5 | 37 |
| Northern Illinois | 7 | 28.00\% | 25 | 0.00\% | 0 | 5 | 27.78\% | 5 | 18 |
| Northwest Texas | 0 | 0.00\% | 3 | 26.32\% | 5 | 19 | 0.00\% | 0 | 5 |
| Oklahoma | 5 | 14.29\% | 35 | 18.42\% | 7 | 38 | 16.67\% | 6 | 36 |
| Oklahoma Indian Missionary | 0 | 0.00\% | 1 | 0.00\% | 0 | 1 | 0.00\% | 0 | 1 |
| Oregon-Idaho | 0 | 0.00\% | 11 | 0.00\% | 0 | 9 | 0.00\% | 0 | 6 |
| Pacific Northwest | 1 | 11.11\% | 9 | 0.00\% | 0 | 9 | 0.00\% | 0 | 8 |
| Peninsula-Delaware | 0 | 0.00\% | 3 | 0.00\% | 0 | 3 | 0.00\% | 0 | 2 |
| Red Bird Missionary | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0 |
| Rio Grande | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 1 | 50.00\% | 1 | 2 |
| Rocky Mountain | 0 | 0.00\% | 26 | 0.00\% | 0 | 24 | 0.00\% | 0 | 23 |
| South Carolina | 2 | 7.69\% | 26 | 11.54\% | 3 | 26 | 4.35\% | 1 | 23 |
| South Georgia | 3 | 21.43\% | 14 | 16.67\% | 2 | 12 | 15.38\% | 2 | 13 |
| Southwest Texas | 1 | 6.25\% | 16 | 7.69\% | 1 | 13 | 14.29\% | 2 | 14 |
| Susquehanna | 1 | 12.50\% | 8 | 12.50\% | 1 | 8 | 12.50\% | 1 | 8 |
| Tennessee | 6 | 17.14\% | 35 | 17.65\% | 6 | 34 | 11.43\% | 4 | 35 |
| Texas | 2 | 8.00\% | 25 | 3.70\% | 1 | 27 | 0.00\% | 0 | 24 |
| Upper New York | 0 | 0.00\% | 11 | 0.00\% | 0 | 10 | 0.00\% | 0 | 8 |
| Virginia | 4 | 13.33\% | 30 | 4.17\% | 1 | 24 | 10.00\% | 3 | 30 |
| West Michigan | 0 | 0.00\% | 6 | 0.00\% | 0 | 5 | 0.00\% | 0 | 4 |
| West Ohio | 1 | 4.35\% | 23 | 9.09\% | 2 | 22 | 12.00\% | 3 | 25 |
| West Virginia | 0 | 0.00\% | 11 | 0.00\% | 0 | 11 | 0.00\% | 0 | 11 |
| Western North Carolina | 8 | 16.67\% | 48 | 13.04\% | 6 | 46 | 11.63\% | 5 | 43 |
| Western Pennsylvania | 0 | 0.00\% | 7 | 0.00\% | 0 | 9 | 0.00\% | 0 | 9 |
| Wisconsin | 0 | 0.00\% | 8 | 0.00\% | 0 | 5 | 0.00\% | 0 | 5 |
| Yellowstone | 0 | 0.00\% | 1 | 0.00\% | 0 | 1 | 0.00\% | 0 | 1 |
| Total | 88 | 9.27\% | 949 | 9.57\% | 89 | 930 | 8.42\% | 77 | 915 |

## Presence of Deacons under 35 by 2011 Percentage 2009 to 2011

| CONFERENCE NAME | 2011 Deacons under 35 | 2011 Deacons under 35 | $\begin{aligned} & 2011 \\ & \text { Deacons } \end{aligned}$ | 2010 Deacons under 35 | 2010 Deacons under 35 | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { Deacons } \end{gathered}$ | 2009 Deacons under 35 | 2009 Deacons under 35 | $\begin{gathered} 2009 \\ \text { Deacons } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Northern Illinois | 7 | 28.00\% | 25 | 0.00\% | 0 | 5 | 27.78\% | 5 | 18 |
| South Georgia | 3 | 21.43\% | 14 | 16.67\% | 2 | 12 | 15.38\% | 2 | 13 |
| California-Nevada | 1 | 20.00\% | 5 | 0.00\% | 0 | 7 | 9.09\% | 1 | 11 |
| Detroit | 4 | 20.00\% | 20 | 22.22\% | 4 | 18 | 12.50\% | 2 | 16 |
| New England | 1 | 20.00\% | 5 | 33.33\% | 1 | 3 | 0.00\% | 0 | 4 |
| Indiana | 3 | 17.65\% | 17 | 15.79\% | 3 | 19 | 0.00\% | 0 | 16 |
| Tennessee | 6 | 17.14\% | 35 | 17.65\% | 6 | 34 | 11.43\% | 4 | 35 |
| Kansas West | 2 | 16.67\% | 12 | 0.00\% | 0 | 11 | 0.00\% | 0 | 7 |
| Minnesota | 3 | 16.67\% | 18 | 20.00\% | 3 | 15 | 18.75\% | 3 | 16 |
| Western North Carolina | 8 | 16.67\% | 48 | 13.04\% | 6 | 46 | 11.63\% | 5 | 43 |
| North Georgia | 9 | 15.52\% | 58 | 18.52\% | 10 | 54 | 19.61\% | 10 | 51 |
| Holston | 2 | 15.38\% | 13 | 15.38\% | 2 | 13 | 8.33\% | 1 | 12 |
| Oklahoma | 5 | 14.29\% | 35 | 18.42\% | 7 | 38 | 16.67\% | 6 | 36 |
| Alabama-West Florida | 5 | 13.51\% | 37 | 18.92\% | 7 | 37 | 13.89\% | 5 | 36 |
| Virginia | 4 | 13.33\% | 30 | 4.17\% | 1 | 24 | 10.00\% | 3 | 30 |
| Susquehanna | 1 | 12.50\% | 8 | 12.50\% | 1 | 8 | 12.50\% | 1 | 8 |
| Mississippi | 3 | 12.00\% | 25 | 8.00\% | 2 | 25 | 8.33\% | 2 | 24 |
| Pacific Northwest | 1 | 11.11\% | 9 | 0.00\% | 0 | 9 | 0.00\% | 0 | 8 |
| Florida | 3 | 10.71\% | 28 | 6.67\% | 2 | 30 | 9.38\% | 3 | 32 |
| North Texas | 4 | 10.26\% | 39 | 7.89\% | 3 | 38 | 13.51\% | 5 | 37 |
| Louisiana | 2 | 9.09\% | 22 | 9.09\% | 2 | 22 | 9.09\% | 2 | 22 |
| Texas | 2 | 8.00\% | 25 | 3.70\% | 1 | 27 | 0.00\% | 0 | 24 |
| Memphis | 1 | 7.69\% | 13 | 8.33\% | 1 | 12 | 8.33\% | 1 | 12 |
| South Carolina | 2 | 7.69\% | 26 | 11.54\% | 3 | 26 | 4.35\% | 1 | 23 |
| Central Texas | 2 | 7.41\% | 27 | 11.54\% | 3 | 26 | 7.69\% | 2 | 26 |
| Greater New Jersey | 1 | 7.14\% | 14 | 16.67\% | 3 | 18 | 12.50\% | 2 | 16 |
| California-Pacific | 1 | 6.25\% | 16 | 5.88\% | 1 | 17 | 5.26\% | 1 | 19 |
| Southwest Texas | 1 | 6.25\% | 16 | 7.69\% | 1 | 13 | 14.29\% | 2 | 14 |
| West Ohio | 1 | 4.35\% | 23 | 9.09\% | 2 | 22 | 12.00\% | 3 | 25 |
| Alaska Missionary | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0 |

Presence of Deacons under 35
by 2011 Percentage
2009 to 2011, continued

| CONFERENCE NAME | 2011 <br> Deacons under 35 | 2011 <br> Deacons under 35 | $2011$ <br> Deacons | $2010$ <br> Deacons under 35 | $2010$ <br> Deacons under 35 | $2010$ <br> Deacons | 2009 Deacons under 35 | $2009$ <br> Deacons under 35 | $\begin{aligned} & 2009 \\ & \text { Deacons } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arkansas | 0 | 0.00\% | 15 | 0.00\% | 0 | 16 | 0.00\% | 0 | 19 |
| Baltimore-Washington | 0 | 0.00\% | 22 | 0.00\% | 0 | 23 | 0.00\% | 0 | 23 |
| Dakotas | 0 | 0.00\% | 1 | 0.00\% | 0 | 2 | 0.00\% | 0 | 4 |
| Desert Southwest | 0 | 0.00\% | 11 | 0.00\% | 0 | 11 | 0.00\% | 0 | 11 |
| East Ohio | 0 | 0.00\% | 13 | 6.25\% | 1 | 16 | 0.00\% | 0 | 18 |
| Eastern Pennsylvania | 0 | 0.00\% | 12 | 0.00\% | 0 | 6 | 0.00\% | 0 | 6 |
| Illinois Great Rivers | 0 | 0.00\% | 12 | 0.00\% | 0 | 10 | 0.00\% | 0 | 10 |
| Iowa | 0 | 0.00\% | 8 | 15.38\% | 2 | 13 | 7.69\% | 1 | 13 |
| Kansas East | 0 | 0.00\% | 9 | 12.50\% | 1 | 8 | 0.00\% | 0 | 8 |
| Kentucky | 0 | 0.00\% | 27 | 3.70\% | 1 | 27 | 7.41\% | 2 | 27 |
| Missouri | 0 | 0.00\% | 20 | 0.00\% | 0 | 20 | 0.00\% | 0 | 20 |
| Nebraska | 0 | 0.00\% | 1 | 0.00\% | 0 | 1 | 0.00\% | 0 | 1 |
| New Mexico | 0 | 0.00\% | 5 | 0.00\% | 0 | 8 | 0.00\% | 0 | 7 |
| New York | 0 | 0.00\% | 6 | 0.00\% | 0 | 5 | 0.00\% | 0 | 3 |
| North Alabama | 0 | 0.00\% | 12 | 15.38\% | 2 | 13 | 7.69\% | 1 | 13 |
| North Carolina | 0 | 0.00\% | 24 | 0.00\% | 0 | 24 | 0.00\% | 0 | 22 |
| Northwest Texas | 0 | 0.00\% | 3 | 26.32\% | 5 | 19 | 0.00\% | 0 | 5 |
| Oklahoma Indian Missionary | 0 | 0.00\% | 1 | 0.00\% | 0 | 1 | 0.00\% | 0 | 1 |
| Oregon-Idaho | 0 | 0.00\% | 11 | 0.00\% | 0 | 9 | 0.00\% | 0 | 6 |
| Peninsula-Delaware | 0 | 0.00\% | 3 | 0.00\% | 0 | 3 | 0.00\% | 0 | 2 |
| Red Bird Missionary | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0 |
| Rio Grande | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 1 | 50.00\% | 1 | 2 |
| Rocky Mountain | 0 | 0.00\% | 26 | 0.00\% | 0 | 24 | 0.00\% | 0 | 23 |
| Upper New York | 0 | 0.00\% | 11 | 0.00\% | 0 | 10 | 0.00\% | 0 | 8 |
| West Michigan | 0 | 0.00\% | 6 | 0.00\% | 0 | 5 | 0.00\% | 0 | 4 |
| West Virginia | 0 | 0.00\% | 11 | 0.00\% | 0 | 11 | 0.00\% | 0 | 11 |
| Western Pennsylvania | 0 | 0.00\% | 7 | 0.00\% | 0 | 9 | 0.00\% | 0 | 9 |
| Wisconsin | 0 | 0.00\% | 8 | 0.00\% | 0 | 5 | 0.00\% | 0 | 5 |
| Yellowstone | 0 | 0.00\% | 1 | 0.00\% | 0 | 1 | 0.00\% | 0 | 1 |
| Total | 88 | 9.27\% | 949 | 9.57\% | 89 | 930 | 8.42\% | 77 | 915 |

## Local Pastors

For local pastors, full-time, part-time, and student local pastors are included.



Data on Age Trends for Local Pastors
1985-2011

|  | No. of <br> Local | Local <br> Pastors <br> Under <br> $\mathbf{3 5}$ | \% Under <br> $\mathbf{3 5}$ | Local <br> Pastors <br> $\mathbf{3 5 - 5 4}$ | \% 35-54 | Local <br> Pastors <br> Pastors |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 9 8 5}$ | 3,804 | 130 | $3.4 \%$ | 2,212 | $58.1 \%$ | 1,462 | $38.4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ | 3,936 | 163 | $4.1 \%$ | 2,244 | $57.0 \%$ | 1,529 | $38.8 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | 4,622 | 290 | $6.3 \%$ | 2,641 | $57.1 \%$ | 1,691 | $36.6 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 5,571 | 348 | $6.2 \%$ | 3,109 | $55.8 \%$ | 2,114 | $37.9 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | 6,517 | 371 | $5.7 \%$ | 3,213 | $49.3 \%$ | 2,933 | $45.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | 6,731 | 363 | $5.4 \%$ | 3,201 | $47.6 \%$ | 3,167 | $47.1 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | 6,863 | 376 | $5.5 \%$ | 3,166 | $46.1 \%$ | 3,321 | $48.4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | 6,981 | 367 | $5.3 \%$ | 3,094 | $44.3 \%$ | 3,520 | $50.4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | 7,164 | 397 | $5.5 \%$ | 3,039 | $42.4 \%$ | 3,728 | $52.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | 7,341 | 426 | $5.8 \%$ | 2,932 | $39.9 \%$ | 3,983 | $54.3 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | 7,353 | 455 | $6.2 \%$ | 2,790 | $37.9 \%$ | 4,108 | $55.9 \%$ |

Median, Average, and Mode Ages - Local Pastors by Year

|  | Median Age* | Average Age | Mode Age* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 9 8 5}$ | 51 | 50 | 59 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ | 51 | 50 | 60 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | 51 | 50 | 48 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 52 | 51 | 53 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | 53 | 52 | 58 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | 54 | 53 | 59 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | 54 | 53 | 60 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | 55 | 53 | 59 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | 55 | 54 | 60 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | 55 | 54 | 51 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | 56 | 54 | 62 |

* Median - half older, half younger
* Mode - single age most represented

Gender Breakdown within Age Cohorts
Local Pastors

|  |  | Under 35 | $\mathbf{3 5 - 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 5} \mathbf{- 7 2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | Men | $75.48 \%$ | $70.07 \%$ | $68.18 \%$ |
|  | Women | $24.52 \%$ | $29.93 \%$ | $31.82 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | Men | $76.83 \%$ | $70.46 \%$ | $67.65 \%$ |
|  | Women | $23.17 \%$ | $29.54 \%$ | $32.35 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | Men | $77.23 \%$ | $70.02 \%$ | $67.19 \%$ |
|  | Women | $22.77 \%$ | $29.98 \%$ | $32.81 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | Men | $77.36 \%$ | $70.47 \%$ | $65.89 \%$ |
|  | Women | $22.64 \%$ | $29.53 \%$ | $34.11 \%$ |

## Local Pastors under 35 by Jurisdictions

| Jurisdiction | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North Central | 70 | 62 | 57 | 46 |
| Northeastern | 70 | 79 | 91 | 76 |
| South Central | 98 | 99 | 99 | 93 |
| Southeastern | 201 | 171 | 130 | 135 |
| Western | 16 | 15 | 20 | 17 |
| Total | 455 | 426 | 397 | 367 |

Presence of Local Pastors under 35 by Annual Conference 2009 to 2011

| CONFERENCE NAME | 2011 <br> Local Pastors under 35 | 2011 <br> Local Pastors under 35 | 2011 <br> Local Pastors | 2010 <br> Local Pastors under 35 | 2010 <br> Local Pastors under 35 | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 \\ & \text { Local } \\ & \text { Pastors } \end{aligned}$ | 2009 <br> Local Pastors under 35 | 2009 <br> Local Pastors under 35 | $\begin{gathered} 2009 \\ \text { Local } \\ \text { Pastors } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama-West Florida | 7 | 4.22\% | 166 | 12 | 7.02\% | 171 | 13 | 7.98\% | 163 |
| Alaska Missionary | 0 | 0.00\% | 2 | 0 | 0.00\% | 2 | 1 | 25.00\% | 4 |
| Arkansas | 6 | 3.16\% | 190 | 8 | 3.98\% | 201 | 7 | 3.72\% | 188 |
| Baltimore-Washington | 14 | 13.73\% | 102 | 8 | 8.16\% | 98 | 4 | 3.96\% | 101 |
| California-Nevada | 3 | 6.00\% | 50 | 3 | 5.88\% | 51 | 2 | 3.70\% | 54 |
| California-Pacific | 4 | 5.63\% | 71 | 7 | 9.86\% | 71 | 10 | 12.66\% | 79 |
| Central Texas | 6 | 16.67\% | 36 | 1 | 2.70\% | 37 | 2 | 5.41\% | 37 |
| Dakotas | 1 | 3.23\% | 31 | 1 | 3.03\% | 33 | 2 | 5.13\% | 39 |
| Desert Southwest | 2 | 7.14\% | 28 | 1 | 3.45\% | 29 | 0 | 0.00\% | 28 |
| Detroit | 2 | 2.22\% | 90 | 1 | 1.15\% | 87 | 2 | 2.20\% | 91 |
| East Ohio | 17 | 8.02\% | 212 | 12 | 5.71\% | 210 | 5 | 2.55\% | 196 |
| Eastern Pennsylvania | 5 | 3.57\% | 140 | 4 | 2.86\% | 140 | 6 | 5.13\% | 117 |
| Florida | 8 | 4.71\% | 170 | 10 | 5.81\% | 172 | 6 | 4.00\% | 150 |
| Greater New Jersey | 2 | 1.32\% | 151 | 8 | 4.88\% | 164 | 10 | 6.62\% | 151 |
| Holston | 4 | 2.92\% | 137 | 6 | 4.17\% | 144 | 5 | 3.25\% | 154 |
| Illinois Great Rivers | 11 | 6.47\% | 170 | 9 | 5.14\% | 175 | 10 | 5.62\% | 178 |
| Indiana | 9 | 5.92\% | 152 | 9 | 5.39\% | 167 | 12 | 2.88\% | 416 |
| Iowa | 3 | 1.88\% | 160 | 5 | 2.94\% | 170 | 7 | 3.78\% | 185 |
| Kansas East | 8 | 13.11\% | 61 | 7 | 10.94\% | 64 | 6 | 9.09\% | 66 |
| Kansas West | 4 | 5.33\% | 75 | 6 | 8.00\% | 75 | 4 | 5.33\% | 75 |
| Kentucky | 20 | 10.81\% | 185 | 16 | 9.88\% | 162 | 8 | 6.50\% | 123 |
| Louisiana | 4 | 2.92\% | 137 | 8 | 5.33\% | 150 | 15 | 9.80\% | 153 |
| Memphis | 8 | 11.59\% | 69 | 5 | 8.47\% | 59 | 5 | 8.06\% | 62 |
| Minnesota | 2 | 4.44\% | 45 | 2 | 4.65\% | 43 | 3 | 6.98\% | 43 |
| Mississippi | 17 | 6.49\% | 262 | 16 | 5.67\% | 282 | 12 | 4.94\% | 243 |
| Missouri | 15 | 6.79\% | 221 | 16 | 6.90\% | 232 | 19 | 8.48\% | 224 |
| Nebraska | 5 | 7.35\% | 68 | 5 | 6.94\% | 72 | 3 | 4.55\% | 66 |
| New England | 11 | 8.46\% | 130 | 6 | 5.00\% | 120 | 5 | 4.50\% | 111 |
| New Mexico | 0 | 0.00\% | 25 | 0 | 0.00\% | 27 | 0 | 0.00\% | 24 |
| New York | 3 | 4.92\% | 61 | 4 | 7.02\% | 57 | 5 | 8.62\% | 58 |

Presence of Local Pastors under 35
by Annual Conference
2009 to 2011, continued

| CONFERENCE NAME | 2011 <br> Local Pastors under 35 | 2011 <br> Local Pastors under 35 | $\begin{aligned} & 2011 \\ & \text { Local } \\ & \text { Pastors } \end{aligned}$ | 2010 <br> Local Pastors under 35 | 2010 <br> Local Pastors under 35 | 2010 <br> Local Pastors | 2009 <br> Local Pastors under 35 | 2009 <br> Local Pastors under 35 | $\begin{gathered} 2009 \\ \text { Local } \end{gathered}$ Pastors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North Alabama | 26 | 7.81\% | 333 | 22 | 8.27\% | 266 | 16 | 6.20\% | 258 |
| North Carolina | 13 | 6.19\% | 210 | 8 | 3.88\% | 206 | 12 | 5.74\% | 209 |
| North Georgia | 23 | 7.35\% | 313 | 24 | 7.48\% | 321 | 23 | 7.30\% | 315 |
| North Texas | 7 | 7.29\% | 96 | 4 | 4.00\% | 100 | 4 | 4.17\% | 96 |
| Northern Illinois | 7 | 12.28\% | 57 | 6 | 4.62\% | 65 | 2 | 2.86\% | 70 |
| Northwest Texas | 1 | 1.69\% | 59 | 3 | 11.76\% | 51 | 4 | 8.51\% | 47 |
| Oklahoma | 13 | 11.02\% | 118 | 14 | 10.85\% | 129 | 12 | 9.60\% | 125 |
| Oklahoma Indian Missionary | 0 | 0.00\% | 19 | 1 | 5.56\% | 18 | 4 | 16.00\% | 25 |
| Oregon-Idaho | 4 | 12.50\% | 32 | 2 | 7.14\% | 28 | 4 | 14.29\% | 28 |
| Pacific Northwest | 1 | 3.70\% | 27 | 2 | 7.14\% | 28 | 3 | 11.54\% | 26 |
| Peninsula-Delaware | 3 | 3.49\% | 86 | 3 | 3.70\% | 81 | 1 | 1.28\% | 78 |
| Red Bird Missionary | 0 | 0.00\% | 7 | 0 | 0.00\% | 6 | 0 | 0.00\% | 9 |
| Rio Grande | 0 | 0.00\% | 18 | 0 | 0.00\% | 18 | 0 | 0.00\% | 19 |
| Rocky Mountain | 1 | 2.00\% | 50 | 0 | 0.00\% | 50 | 0 | 0.00\% | 46 |
| South Carolina | 14 | 6.57\% | 213 | 8 | 3.98\% | 201 | 8 | 4.32\% | 185 |
| South Georgia | 15 | 9.74\% | 154 | 16 | 10.53\% | 152 | 8 | 5.48\% | 146 |
| Southwest Texas | 13 | 10.00\% | 130 | 13 | 10.48\% | 124 | 10 | 8.62\% | 116 |
| Susquehanna | 12 | 5.74\% | 209 | 14 | 5.58\% | 251 | 15 | 6.30\% | 238 |
| Tennessee | 16 | 9.14\% | 175 | 10 | 5.99\% | 167 | 12 | 7.41\% | 162 |
| Texas | 16 | 9.64\% | 166 | 13 | 7.60\% | 171 | 9 | 5.23\% | 172 |
| Upper New York | 10 | 4.20\% | 238 | 11 | 3.10\% | 354 | 10 | 4.98\% | 201 |
| Virginia | 16 | 5.73\% | 279 | 15 | 5.45\% | 275 | 16 | 5.73\% | 279 |
| West Michigan | 3 | 2.83\% | 106 | 3 | 2.88\% | 104 | 3 | 2.88\% | 104 |
| West Ohio | 11 | 8.09\% | 136 | 10 | 7.81\% | 128 | 9 | 6.52\% | 138 |
| West Virginia | 7 | 3.07\% | 228 | 10 | 4.17\% | 240 | 9 | 3.75\% | 240 |
| Western North Carolina | 14 | 5.17\% | 271 | 11 | 4.26\% | 258 | 10 | 3.80\% | 263 |
| Western Pennsylvania | 3 | 2.80\% | 107 | 3 | 2.83\% | 106 | 2 | 1.94\% | 103 |
| Wisconsin | 4 | 4.26\% | 94 | 4 | 4.21\% | 95 | 2 | 2.25\% | 89 |
| Yellowstone | 1 | 4.00\% | 25 | 0 | 0.00\% | 24 | 0 | 0.00\% | 26 |
| Total | 455 | 6.19\% | 7,353 | 426 | 5.80\% | 7,341 | 397 | 5.37\% | 7,392 |

Presence of Local Pastors under 35

## by 2011 Percentage

 2009 to 2011| CONFERENCE NAME | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \text { Local } \\ \text { Pastors } \\ \text { under } \\ 35 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2011 <br> Local Pastors under 35 | 2011 <br> Local Pastors | 2010 <br> Local Pastors under 35 | 2010 <br> Local Pastors under 35 | 2010 <br> Local Pastors | 2009 <br> Local Pastors under 35 | 2009 <br> Local Pastors under 35 | 2009 <br> Local Pastors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Central Texas | 6 | 16.67\% | 36 | 1 | 2.70\% | 37 | 2 | 5.41\% | 37 |
| Baltimore-Washington | 14 | 13.73\% | 102 | 8 | 8.16\% | 98 | 4 | 3.96\% | 101 |
| Kansas East | 8 | 13.11\% | 61 | 7 | 10.94\% | 64 | 6 | 9.09\% | 66 |
| Oregon-Idaho | 4 | 12.50\% | 32 | 2 | 7.14\% | 28 | 4 | 14.29\% | 28 |
| Northern Illinois | 7 | 12.28\% | 57 | 6 | 4.62\% | 65 | 2 | 2.86\% | 70 |
| Memphis | 8 | 11.59\% | 69 | 5 | 8.47\% | 59 | 5 | 8.06\% | 62 |
| Oklahoma | 13 | 11.02\% | 118 | 14 | 10.85\% | 129 | 12 | 9.60\% | 125 |
| Kentucky | 20 | 10.81\% | 185 | 16 | 9.88\% | 162 | 8 | 6.50\% | 123 |
| Southwest Texas | 13 | 10.00\% | 130 | 13 | 10.48\% | 124 | 10 | 8.62\% | 116 |
| South Georgia | 15 | 9.74\% | 154 | 16 | 10.53\% | 152 | 8 | 5.48\% | 146 |
| Texas | 16 | 9.64\% | 166 | 13 | 7.60\% | 171 | 9 | 5.23\% | 172 |
| Tennessee | 16 | 9.14\% | 175 | 10 | 5.99\% | 167 | 12 | 7.41\% | 162 |
| New England | 11 | 8.46\% | 130 | 6 | 5.00\% | 120 | 5 | 4.50\% | 111 |
| West Ohio | 11 | 8.09\% | 136 | 10 | 7.81\% | 128 | 9 | 6.52\% | 138 |
| East Ohio | 17 | 8.02\% | 212 | 12 | 5.71\% | 210 | 5 | 2.55\% | 196 |
| North Alabama | 26 | 7.81\% | 333 | 22 | 8.27\% | 266 | 16 | 6.20\% | 258 |
| Nebraska | 5 | 7.35\% | 68 | 5 | 6.94\% | 72 | 3 | 4.55\% | 66 |
| North Georgia | 23 | 7.35\% | 313 | 24 | 7.48\% | 321 | 23 | 7.30\% | 315 |
| North Texas | 7 | 7.29\% | 96 | 4 | 4.00\% | 100 | 4 | 4.17\% | 96 |
| Desert Southwest | 2 | 7.14\% | 28 | 1 | 3.45\% | 29 | 0 | 0.00\% | 28 |
| Missouri | 15 | 6.79\% | 221 | 16 | 6.90\% | 232 | 19 | 8.48\% | 224 |
| South Carolina | 14 | 6.57\% | 213 | 8 | 3.98\% | 201 | 8 | 4.32\% | 185 |
| Mississippi | 17 | 6.49\% | 262 | 16 | 5.67\% | 282 | 12 | 4.94\% | 243 |
| Illinois Great Rivers | 11 | 6.47\% | 170 | 9 | 5.14\% | 175 | 10 | 5.62\% | 178 |
| North Carolina | 13 | 6.19\% | 210 | 8 | 3.88\% | 206 | 12 | 5.74\% | 209 |
| California-Nevada | 3 | 6.00\% | 50 | 3 | 5.88\% | 51 | 2 | 3.70\% | 54 |
| Indiana | 9 | 5.92\% | 152 | 9 | 5.39\% | 167 | 12 | 2.88\% | 416 |
| Susquehanna | 12 | 5.74\% | 209 | 14 | 5.58\% | 251 | 15 | 6.30\% | 238 |
| Virginia | 16 | 5.73\% | 279 | 15 | 5.45\% | 275 | 16 | 5.73\% | 279 |

Presence of Local Pastors under 35
by 2011 Percentage
2009 to 2011, continued

| CONFERENCE NAME | 2011 <br> Local Pastors under 35 | 2011 <br> Local Pastors under 35 | 2011 <br> Local Pastors | 2010 <br> Local Pastors under 35 | 2010 <br> Local Pastors under 35 | 2010 <br> Local Pastors | 2009 <br> Local Pastors under 35 | 2009 <br> Local Pastors under 35 | $\begin{gathered} 2009 \\ \text { Local } \\ \text { Pastors } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| California-Pacific | 4 | 5.63\% | 71 | 7 | 9.86\% | 71 | 10 | 12.66\% | 79 |
| Kansas West | 4 | 5.33\% | 75 | 6 | 8.00\% | 75 | 4 | 5.33\% | 75 |
| Western North Carolina | 14 | 5.17\% | 271 | 11 | 4.26\% | 258 | 10 | 3.80\% | 263 |
| New York | 3 | 4.92\% | 61 | 4 | 7.02\% | 57 | 5 | 8.62\% | 58 |
| Florida | 8 | 4.71\% | 170 | 10 | 5.81\% | 172 | 6 | 4.00\% | 150 |
| Minnesota | 2 | 4.44\% | 45 | 2 | 4.65\% | 43 | 3 | 6.98\% | 43 |
| Wisconsin | 4 | 4.26\% | 94 | 4 | 4.21\% | 95 | 2 | 2.25\% | 89 |
| Alabama-West Florida | 7 | 4.22\% | 166 | 12 | 7.02\% | 171 | 13 | 7.98\% | 163 |
| Upper New York* | 10 | 4.20\% | 238 | 11 | 3.10\% | 354 | 10 | 4.98\% | 201 |
| Yellowstone | 1 | 4.00\% | 25 | 0 | 0.00\% | 24 | 0 | 0.00\% | 26 |
| Pacific Northwest | 1 | 3.70\% | 27 | 2 | 7.14\% | 28 | 3 | 11.54\% | 26 |
| Eastern Pennsylvania | 5 | 3.57\% | 140 | 4 | 2.86\% | 140 | 6 | 5.13\% | 117 |
| Peninsula-Delaware | 3 | 3.49\% | 86 | 3 | 3.70\% | 81 | 1 | 1.28\% | 78 |
| Dakotas | 1 | 3.23\% | 31 | 1 | 3.03\% | 33 | 2 | 5.13\% | 39 |
| Arkansas | 6 | 3.16\% | 190 | 8 | 3.98\% | 201 | 7 | 3.72\% | 188 |
| West Virginia | 7 | 3.07\% | 228 | 10 | 4.17\% | 240 | 9 | 3.75\% | 240 |
| Holston | 4 | 2.92\% | 137 | 6 | 4.17\% | 144 | 5 | 3.25\% | 154 |
| Louisiana | 4 | 2.92\% | 137 | 8 | 5.33\% | 150 | 15 | 9.80\% | 153 |
| West Michigan | 3 | 2.83\% | 106 | 3 | 2.88\% | 104 | 3 | 2.88\% | 104 |
| Western Pennsylvania | 3 | 2.80\% | 107 | 3 | 2.83\% | 106 | 2 | 1.94\% | 103 |
| Detroit | 2 | 2.22\% | 90 | 1 | 1.15\% | 87 | 2 | 2.20\% | 91 |
| Rocky Mountain | 1 | 2.00\% | 50 | 0 | 0.00\% | 50 | 0 | 0.00\% | 46 |
| Iowa | 3 | 1.88\% | 160 | 5 | 2.94\% | 170 | 7 | 3.78\% | 185 |
| Northwest Texas | 1 | 1.69\% | 59 | 3 | 11.76\% | 51 | 4 | 8.51\% | 47 |
| Greater New Jersey | 2 | 1.32\% | 151 | 8 | 4.88\% | 164 | 10 | 6.62\% | 151 |
| Alaska Missionary | 0 | 0.00\% | 2 | 0 | 0.00\% | 2 | 1 | 25.00\% | 4 |
| New Mexico | 0 | 0.00\% | 25 | 0 | 0.00\% | 27 | 0 | 0.00\% | 24 |
| OK Indian Missionary | 0 | 0.00\% | 19 | 1 | 5.56\% | 18 | 4 | 16.00\% | 25 |
| Red Bird Missionary | 0 | 0.00\% | 7 | 0 | 0.00\% | 6 | 0 | 0.00\% | 9 |
| Rio Grande | 0 | 0.00\% | 18 | 0 | 0.00\% | 18 | 0 | 0.00\% | 19 |
| Total | 455 | 6.19\% | 7,353 | 426 | 5.80\% | 7,341 | 397 | 5.37\% | 7,392 |


|  | Elders |  |  |  | Deacons |  |  |  | Local Pastors |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CONFERENCE | $\begin{gathered} \text { Under } \\ 35 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35 \text { to } \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \text { to } \\ 72 \end{gathered}$ | Total | Under 35 | $\begin{gathered} 35 \text { to } \\ 54 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \text { to } \\ 72 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Total | Under 35 | $\begin{gathered} 35 \text { to } \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \text { to } \\ 72 \end{gathered}$ | Total |
| Alabama-West Florida | 20 | 127 | 124 | 271 | 5 | 21 | 11 | 37 | 7 | 69 | 90 | 166 |
| Alaska Missionary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Arkansas | 20 | 124 | 126 | 270 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 15 | 6 | 51 | 133 | 190 |
| Baltimore-Washington | 15 | 158 | 216 | 389 | 0 | 12 | 10 | 22 | 14 | 31 | 57 | 102 |
| California-Nevada | 7 | 107 | 177 | 291 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 15 | 32 | 50 |
| California-Pacific | 15 | 176 | 178 | 369 | 1 | 3 | 12 | 16 | 4 | 33 | 34 | 71 |
| Central Texas | 21 | 97 | 126 | 244 | 2 | 10 | 15 | 27 | 6 | 12 | 18 | 36 |
| Dakotas | 8 | 53 | 82 | 143 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 18 | 31 |
| Desert Southwest | 3 | 47 | 78 | 128 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 11 | 2 | 9 | 17 | 28 |
| Detroit | 10 | 110 | 154 | 274 | 4 | 9 | 7 | 20 | 2 | 20 | 68 | 90 |
| East Ohio | 15 | 142 | 196 | 353 | 0 | 5 | 8 | 13 | 17 | 79 | 116 | 212 |
| Eastern Pennsylvania | 7 | 132 | 152 | 291 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 12 | 5 | 53 | 82 | 140 |
| Florida | 21 | 222 | 239 | 482 | 3 | 8 | 17 | 28 | 8 | 70 | 92 | 170 |
| Greater New Jersey | 15 | 158 | 214 | 387 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 57 | 92 | 151 |
| Holston | 33 | 158 | 146 | 337 | 2 | 2 | 9 | 13 | 4 | 49 | 84 | 137 |
| Illinois Great Rivers | 15 | 157 | 188 | 360 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 12 | 11 | 58 | 101 | 170 |
| Indiana | 34 | 227 | 304 | 565 | 3 | 8 | 6 | 17 | 9 | 57 | 86 | 152 |
| lowa | 19 | 155 | 205 | 379 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 8 | 3 | 51 | 106 | 160 |
| Kansas East | 7 | 55 | 87 | 149 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 9 | 8 | 19 | 34 | 61 |
| Kansas West | 16 | 56 | 111 | 183 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 12 | 4 | 29 | 42 | 75 |
| Kentucky | 15 | 120 | 133 | 268 | 0 | 11 | 16 | 27 | 20 | 76 | 89 | 185 |
| Louisiana | 16 | 100 | 107 | 223 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 22 | 4 | 46 | 87 | 137 |
| Memphis | 6 | 64 | 105 | 175 | 1 | 9 | 3 | 13 | 8 | 27 | 34 | 69 |
| Minnesota | 15 | 100 | 114 | 229 | 3 | 8 | 7 | 18 | 2 | 19 | 24 | 45 |
| Mississippi | 35 | 159 | 172 | 366 | 3 | 15 | 7 | 25 | 17 | 97 | 148 | 262 |
| Missouri | 22 | 155 | 153 | 330 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 20 | 15 | 87 | 119 | 221 |
| Nebraska | 7 | 58 | 96 | 161 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 21 | 42 | 68 |
| New England | 9 | 119 | 188 | 316 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 11 | 53 | 66 | 130 |
| New Mexico | 6 | 43 | 50 | 99 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 17 | 25 |
| New York | 8 | 121 | 193 | 322 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 24 | 34 | 61 |


|  | Elders |  |  |  | Deacons |  |  |  | Local Pastors |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CONFERENCE | Under 35 | $\begin{gathered} 35 \text { to } \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \text { to } \\ 72 \end{gathered}$ | Total | Under 35 | $\begin{gathered} 35 \text { to } \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \text { to } \\ 72 \end{gathered}$ | Total | Under 35 | $\begin{gathered} 35 \text { to } \\ 54 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline 55 \text { to } \\ 72 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Total |
| North Alabama | 31 | 158 | 162 | 351 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 12 | 26 | 142 | 165 | 333 |
| North Carolina | 33 | 201 | 200 | 434 | 0 | 9 | 15 | 24 | 13 | 87 | 110 | 210 |
| North Georgia | 39 | 276 | 263 | 578 | 9 | 27 | 22 | 58 | 23 | 143 | 147 | 313 |
| North Texas | 9 | 130 | 131 | 270 | 4 | 20 | 15 | 39 | 7 | 41 | 48 | 96 |
| Northern Illinois | 17 | 136 | 161 | 314 | 7 | 12 | 6 | 25 | 7 | 24 | 26 | 57 |
| Northwest Texas | 9 | 49 | 63 | 121 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 22 | 36 | 59 |
| Oklahoma | 31 | 132 | 152 | 315 | 5 | 13 | 17 | 35 | 13 | 40 | 65 | 118 |
| Oklahoma Indian Missionary | 0 | 1 | 14 | 15 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 10 | 19 |
| Oregon-Idaho | 6 | 51 | 102 | 159 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 11 | 4 | 12 | 16 | 32 |
| Pacific Northwest | 11 | 72 | 120 | 203 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 9 | 1 | 12 | 14 | 27 |
| Peninsula-Delaware | 2 | 63 | 103 | 168 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 27 | 56 | 86 |
| Red Bird Missionary | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 7 |
| Rio Grande | 0 | 25 | 12 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 12 | 18 |
| Rocky Mountain | 12 | 83 | 105 | 200 | 0 | 7 | 19 | 26 | 1 | 20 | 29 | 50 |
| South Carolina | 31 | 165 | 246 | 442 | 2 | 11 | 13 | 26 | 14 | 62 | 137 | 213 |
| South Georgia | 18 | 124 | 144 | 286 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 14 | 15 | 68 | 71 | 154 |
| Southwest Texas | 12 | 83 | 134 | 229 | 1 | 7 | 8 | 16 | 13 | 46 | 71 | 130 |
| Susquehanna | 18 | 163 | 188 | 369 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 12 | 76 | 121 | 209 |
| Tennessee | 13 | 88 | 105 | 206 | 6 | 13 | 16 | 35 | 16 | 74 | 85 | 175 |
| Texas | 33 | 198 | 193 | 424 | 2 | 7 | 16 | 25 | 16 | 60 | 90 | 166 |
| Upper New York | 14 | 136 | 212 | 362 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 11 | 10 | 92 | 136 | 238 |
| Virginia | 57 | 280 | 333 | 670 | 4 | 13 | 13 | 30 | 16 | 110 | 153 | 279 |
| West Michigan | 5 | 83 | 115 | 203 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 36 | 67 | 106 |
| West Ohio | 13 | 277 | 266 | 556 | 1 | 12 | 10 | 23 | 11 | 58 | 67 | 136 |
| West Virginia | 13 | 96 | 124 | 233 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 11 | 7 | 77 | 144 | 228 |
| Western North Carolina | 52 | 369 | 341 | 762 | 8 | 27 | 13 | 48 | 14 | 122 | 135 | 271 |
| Western Pennsylvania | 20 | 154 | 197 | 371 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 7 | 3 | 42 | 62 | 107 |
| Wisconsin | 11 | 105 | 153 | 269 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 8 | 4 | 43 | 47 | 94 |
| Yellowstone | 1 | 15 | 35 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 22 | 25 |
| Total | 951 | 7,213 | 8,790 | 16,954 | 88 | 398 | 463 | 949 | 455 | 2,790 | 4,108 | 7,353 |


|  | Elders |  |  | Deacons |  |  | Local Pastors |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CONFERENCE | Under 35 | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 54 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 55 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 72 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Under 35 | $\begin{gathered} 35 \text { to } \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \text { to } \\ 72 \end{gathered}$ | Under 35 | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 54 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \text { to } \\ 72 \end{gathered}$ |
| Alabama-West Florida | 7\% | 47\% | 46\% | 14\% | 57\% | 30\% | 4\% | 42\% | 54\% |
| Alaska Missionary | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% |
| Arkansas | 7\% | 46\% | 47\% | 0\% | 47\% | 53\% | 3\% | 27\% | 70\% |
| Baltimore-Washington | 4\% | 41\% | 56\% | 0\% | 55\% | 45\% | 14\% | 30\% | 56\% |
| California-Nevada | 2\% | 37\% | 61\% | 20\% | 60\% | 20\% | 6\% | 30\% | 64\% |
| California-Pacific | 4\% | 48\% | 48\% | 6\% | 19\% | 75\% | 6\% | 46\% | 48\% |
| Central Texas | 9\% | 40\% | 52\% | 7\% | 37\% | 56\% | 17\% | 33\% | 50\% |
| Dakotas | 6\% | 37\% | 57\% | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% | 3\% | 39\% | 58\% |
| Desert Southwest | 2\% | 37\% | 61\% | 0\% | 36\% | 64\% | 7\% | 32\% | 61\% |
| Detroit | 4\% | 40\% | 56\% | 20\% | 45\% | 35\% | 2\% | 22\% | 76\% |
| East Ohio | 4\% | 40\% | 56\% | 0\% | 38\% | 62\% | 8\% | 37\% | 55\% |
| Eastern Pennsylvania | 2\% | 45\% | 52\% | 0\% | 50\% | 50\% | 4\% | 38\% | 59\% |
| Florida | 4\% | 46\% | 50\% | 11\% | 29\% | 61\% | 5\% | 41\% | 54\% |
| Greater New Jersey | 4\% | 41\% | 55\% | 7\% | 21\% | 71\% | 1\% | 38\% | 61\% |
| Holston | 10\% | 47\% | 43\% | 15\% | 15\% | 69\% | 3\% | 36\% | 61\% |
| Illinois Great Rivers | 4\% | 44\% | 52\% | 0\% | 33\% | 67\% | 6\% | 34\% | 59\% |
| Indiana | 6\% | 40\% | 54\% | 18\% | 47\% | 35\% | 6\% | 38\% | 57\% |
| Iowa | 5\% | 41\% | 54\% | 0\% | 75\% | 25\% | 2\% | 32\% | 66\% |
| Kansas East | 5\% | 37\% | 58\% | 0\% | 67\% | 33\% | 13\% | 31\% | 56\% |
| Kansas West | 9\% | 31\% | 61\% | 17\% | 25\% | 58\% | 5\% | 39\% | 56\% |
| Kentucky | 6\% | 45\% | 50\% | 0\% | 41\% | 59\% | 11\% | 41\% | 48\% |
| Louisiana | 7\% | 45\% | 48\% | 9\% | 23\% | 68\% | 3\% | 34\% | 64\% |
| Memphis | 3\% | 37\% | 60\% | 8\% | 69\% | 23\% | 12\% | 39\% | 49\% |
| Minnesota | 7\% | 44\% | 50\% | 17\% | 44\% | 39\% | 4\% | 42\% | 53\% |
| Mississippi | 10\% | 43\% | 47\% | 12\% | 60\% | 28\% | 6\% | 37\% | 56\% |
| Missouri | 7\% | 47\% | 46\% | 0\% | 50\% | 50\% | 7\% | 39\% | 54\% |
| Nebraska | 4\% | 36\% | 60\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 7\% | 31\% | 62\% |
| New England | 3\% | 38\% | 59\% | 20\% | 40\% | 40\% | 8\% | 41\% | 51\% |
| New Mexico | 6\% | 43\% | 51\% | 0\% | 20\% | 80\% | 0\% | 32\% | 68\% |
| New York | 2\% | 38\% | 60\% | 0\% | 17\% | 83\% | 5\% | 39\% | 56\% |


|  | Elders |  |  | Deacons |  |  | Local Pastors |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CONFERENCE | Under 35 | 35 to 54 | $55 \text { to }$ $72$ | Under 35 | 35 to 54 | $55 \text { to }$ $72$ | Under 35 | 35 to 54 | $55 \text { to }$ $72$ |
| North Alabama | 9\% | 45\% | 46\% | 0\% | 50\% | 50\% | 8\% | 43\% | 50\% |
| North Carolina | 8\% | 46\% | 46\% | 0\% | 38\% | 63\% | 6\% | 41\% | 52\% |
| North Georgia | 7\% | 48\% | 46\% | 16\% | 47\% | 38\% | 7\% | 46\% | 47\% |
| North Texas | 3\% | 48\% | 49\% | 10\% | 51\% | 38\% | 7\% | 43\% | 50\% |
| Northern Illinois | 5\% | 43\% | 51\% | 28\% | 48\% | 24\% | 12\% | 42\% | 46\% |
| Northwest Texas | 7\% | 40\% | 52\% | 0\% | 33\% | 67\% | 2\% | 37\% | 61\% |
| Oklahoma | 10\% | 42\% | 48\% | 14\% | 37\% | 49\% | 11\% | 34\% | 55\% |
| OK Indian Missionary | 0\% | 7\% | 93\% | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 47\% | 53\% |
| Oregon-Idaho | 4\% | 32\% | 64\% | 0\% | 36\% | 64\% | 13\% | 38\% | 50\% |
| Pacific Northwest | 5\% | 35\% | 59\% | 11\% | 0\% | 89\% | 4\% | 44\% | 52\% |
| Peninsula-Delaware | 1\% | 38\% | 61\% | 0\% | 33\% | 67\% | 3\% | 31\% | 65\% |
| Red Bird Missionary | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 71\% | 29\% |
| Rio Grande | 0\% | 68\% | 32\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 33\% | 67\% |
| Rocky Mountain | 6\% | 42\% | 53\% | 0\% | 27\% | 73\% | 2\% | 40\% | 58\% |
| South Carolina | 7\% | 37\% | 56\% | 8\% | 42\% | 50\% | 7\% | 29\% | 64\% |
| South Georgia | 6\% | 43\% | 50\% | 21\% | 36\% | 43\% | 10\% | 44\% | 46\% |
| Southwest Texas | 5\% | 36\% | 59\% | 6\% | 44\% | 50\% | 10\% | 35\% | 55\% |
| Susquehanna | 5\% | 44\% | 51\% | 13\% | 25\% | 63\% | 6\% | 36\% | 58\% |
| Tennessee | 6\% | 43\% | 51\% | 17\% | 37\% | 46\% | 9\% | 42\% | 49\% |
| Texas | 8\% | 47\% | 46\% | 8\% | 28\% | 64\% | 10\% | 36\% | 54\% |
| Upper New York | 4\% | 38\% | 59\% | 0\% | 45\% | 55\% | 4\% | 39\% | 57\% |
| Virginia | 9\% | 42\% | 50\% | 13\% | 43\% | 43\% | 6\% | 39\% | 55\% |
| West Michigan | 2\% | 41\% | 57\% | 0\% | 83\% | 17\% | 3\% | 34\% | 63\% |
| West Ohio | 2\% | 50\% | 48\% | 4\% | 52\% | 43\% | 8\% | 43\% | 49\% |
| West Virginia | 6\% | 41\% | 53\% | 0\% | 9\% | 91\% | 3\% | 34\% | 63\% |
| Western North Carolina | 7\% | 48\% | 45\% | 17\% | 56\% | 27\% | 5\% | 45\% | 50\% |
| Western Pennsylvania | 5\% | 42\% | 53\% | 0\% | 14\% | 86\% | 3\% | 39\% | 58\% |
| Wisconsin | 4\% | 39\% | 57\% | 0\% | 63\% | 38\% | 4\% | 46\% | 50\% |
| Yellowstone | 2\% | 29\% | 69\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 4\% | 8\% | 88\% |
| Total | 5.6\% | 42.5\% | 51.8\% | 9.3\% | 41.9\% | 48.8\% | 6.2\% | 37.9\% | 55.9\% |

## About the Lewis Center for Church Leadership

Established by Wesley Theological Seminary in 2003, the Lewis Center for Church Leadership has worked to help the United Methodist Church address its current challenges. The Center is building a vision for church leadership grounded in faith, informed by knowledge, and exercised in effective action. It seeks a holistic understanding of Christian leadership that brings together theology and management, scholarship and practice, research and application.

Committed to the broad goal of helping the church reach more people, younger people, and more diverse people, the Center focuses on improving leadership effectiveness and providing actionable insights and best practices to promote effective ministry. The Center seeks to be a trusted resource for church leadership, helping congregations and denominations serve, thrive, and grow. The Center staff of six, along with other researchers and consultants who assist with special projects, also draws on the expertise of the entire Wesley faculty and a wide array of gifted practitioners.

## Subscribe to Leading Ideas Online Newsletter

Leading Ideas is a free bi-weekly online newsletter of the Lewis Center for Church Leadership. Thousands of clergy and lay leaders from across the U.S. and around the world make use of this important leadership resource. Subscribe today at www.churchleadership.com/leadingideas.

## Connect with the Lewis Center

Like the Lewis Center on Facebook at www.facebook.com/lewisleadership.
Follow the Lewis Center on Twitter at www.twitter.com/lewisleadership.

