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For the latest figures available from other denominations, see the 2008 edition of the Lewis Center's clergy age trends report available under the Research section of our website www.churchleadership.com.

## Highlights of the 2010 Report

## Average Age of United Methodist Clergy Reaches a Historic High

- For the first time ever, just over half of active elders are between age 55 and 72.
- The median age of elders is 55 , the highest in history, up from 50 in 2000 and 45 in 1973.
- As expected, the total number of clergy retirements went down dramatically in 2009 because of the economic downturn ( 811 compared to 1,113 in 2008).
- Even with fewer retirements in 2009, the average retirement age still went down by half a year to 64 in 2009.


## The Percentage of Middle Age Elders Shrank Dramatically Since 2000

- The percentage of elders aged 35 to 54 continues to shrink, from 65 percent of all active elders in 2000 to 45 percent in 2010.


## The Number of Young United Methodist Clergy Grew in the Past Decade

- There are more young elders, deacons, and local pastors than ten years ago.
- While fewer in number than young elders, young deacons and local pastors are growing in number faster than young elders.
- In 1985 there were 24 young elders for every one young local pastor. In 2010 there are just two young elders for every young local pastor.

The purpose of this ongoing research effort is to identify clergy age trends in the United Methodist Church so that denominational leaders have the data for planning and a baseline for monitoring future changes. This report builds on previous reports on clergy age trends issued by the Lewis Center for Church Leadership. The first report, Clergy Age Trends in the United Methodist Church: 1985-2005, documented the dramatic decline in United Methodist young clergy in both numbers and percentages over twenty years. This report presents a snapshot of where clergy age trends stand in May 2010.

These clergy age trends are further analyzed in The Crisis of Younger Clergy (Abingdon Press, 2008) by Lovett H. Weems, Jr., and Ann A. Michel. The book considers why the number of young clergy has declined so precipitously in recent decades and what can be done to reverse this trend. Drawing on clergy age data and recent survey results, the book profiles the young clergy population in the United Methodist Church. It exposes the many challenges younger clergy face while lifting up the unique gifts they have to offer.

Clergy ages are not easy to track because few units of the church have up-to-date age information on clergy. The one exception is the General Board of Pension and Health Benefits (GBOPHB). The Lewis Center for Church Leadership of Wesley Theological Seminary has worked with the Board to determine age trends for United Methodist clergy.

The project covers elders, deacons, and local pastors in the five jurisdictional conferences of the United Methodist Church. Ordained deacons as we have now in the United Methodist Church are relatively new, making historical trend comparisons limited. To have comparable figures across the years for elders, the figures include not only those ordained elder but also those commissioned on the elder track but not yet ordained. While not all clergy are in the denominational pension system, most are, and the percentage not in the system tends to stay the same across the years, thus making trend comparisons possible. Readers should keep in mind that the total number of deacons is significantly lower in this report than their presence in the denomination because more deacons than other clergy work in employment settings with pension plans other than through the GBOPHB. For local pastors, both full-time and part-time local pastors are included. Since the GBOPHB does not keep records of clergy by race, we are not able to make comparisons by racial groups.

Lovett H. Weems, Jr., distinguished professor of church leadership and founding director of the Lewis Center for Church Leadership, was project director. Joseph E. Arnold, research manager of the Lewis Center, and Ann A. Michel, associate director of the Lewis Center, were associate directors of the project. Barbara Boigegrain, general secretary of the General Board of Pension and Health Benefits, and the staff of the Board, particularly Anne Borish, Helen Exarhakos, Tanya Lerner, and Otisstean Arrington, provided essential cooperation and data. Thanks go also to the General Council on Finance and Administration for sharing data they collect and to the General Board of Higher Education and Ministry for their collaboration around enlistment and overall young clergy issues.

## Young Clergy Trends

Young Elders

- The number of under-35 elders increased from 906 in 2009 to 946 in 2010, the largest number in over a decade.
- The percentage of young elders increased from $5.25 \%$ in 2009 to $5.47 \%$ in 2010, also the highest in over a decade.
- The Mississippi Conference reported the highest percentage of young elders at $11.11 \%$. Other conferences in the top ten included: Louisiana, Central Texas, Holston, Oklahoma, Virginia, Tennessee, Alabama-West Florida, Arkansas, and North Alabama.
- The largest total numbers of young elders are in the Western North Carolina (57) and Virginia (56) Conferences.

Young Deacons

- The number and percentage of young deacons reached their highest levels in 2010 with 89 young deacons (up from 77 in 2009) representing $9.56 \%$ of deacons (up from $8.42 \%$ ).
- While the number of young deacons is still small compared to young elders, the growth of young deacons is faster than that of young elders. Ten years ago there were 18.5 young elders for one young deacon. Now there are 10.5 young elders for every young deacon.


## Young Local Pastors

- There are more young local pastors in 2010 (426) than at any time in recent history. But their percentage of all local pastors ( $5.8 \%$ ) is actually a bit lower than it was ten to fifteen years ago because the overall growth in the number of local pastors has been even greater than the growth among the young local pastors.
- The relative growth of young local pastors compared to young elders can be seen in these ratios: In 1985 there were 24 young elders for every one young local pastor. In 2010 there are just two young elders for every young local pastor.


## Middle Age Clergy Trends

- In 1985 clergy aged 35 to 54 made up $65 \%$ of all active elders.
- In 2010 this group made another sharp drop and now represents only $45 \%$ of elders.


## Older Clergy Trends

Age statistics for older clergy passed two significant milestones in 2010:

- For the first time just over half of active elders are in the age group 55 to 72.
- The median age of elders reached 55 , the highest in history.
- The number of clergy retirements went down dramatically in 2009 because of the economic downturn. In 2009 there were only 811 retirements compared to 1,113 the previous year.
- However, the average age of retirement continues to go down slightly. Even with so many choosing not to retire in 2009, the average retirement age still went down by half a year, from 64.5 in 2008 to 64 in 2009.
- Elders and deacons are tending to retire on average at 64, full-time local pastors at about 65, and part-time local pastors at about 66.

To have comparable figures across the years for elders, the figures include not only those ordained elder but also those commissioned on the elder track but not yet ordained. Since the General Board of Pension and Health Benefits does not keep records of clergy by race, we were not able to make comparisons by racial groups.



Elders by Jurisdiction

| Jurisdiction | Under 35 $2010$ | Under 35 2010 | $\begin{gathered} 35-54 \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ 35-54 \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55-72 \\ & 2010 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ 55-72 \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | Total <br> 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North Central | 171 | 5\% | 1,690 | 45\% | 1,921 | 51\% | 3,782 |
| Northeastern | 119 | 4\% | 1,383 | 42\% | 1,773 | 54\% | 3,275 |
| South Central | 199 | 6\% | 1,379 | 44\% | 1,533 | 49\% | 3,111 |
| Southeastern | 405 | 7\% | 2,639 | 47\% | 2,622 | 46\% | 5,666 |
| Western | 52 | 4\% | 607 | 42\% | 800 | 55\% | 1,459 |
| Total | 946 | 5.47\% | 7,698 | 44.52\% | 8,649 | 50.01\% | 17,293 |

## Gender Breakdown within Age Cohorts - Elders

|  |  | Under 35 | $35-54$ | $55-72$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2007 | Men | $68.55 \%$ | $74.66 \%$ | $77.32 \%$ |
|  | Women | $31.40 \%$ | $25.33 \%$ | $22.67 \%$ |
| 2008 | Men | $66.89 \%$ | $74.19 \%$ | $75.89 \%$ |
|  | Women | $33.10 \%$ | $25.80 \%$ | $24.10 \%$ |
| 2009 | Men | $64.02 \%$ | $72.75 \%$ | $74.28 \%$ |
|  | Women | $35.98 \%$ | $27.25 \%$ | $25.72 \%$ |
| 2010 | Men | $63.75 \%$ | $70.43 \%$ | $73.68 \%$ |
|  | Women | $36.25 \%$ | $29.57 \%$ | $26.32 \%$ |

Median, Average, and Mode Ages by Year - Elders

| Year | Median* Age | Average Age | Mode* Age |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 9 8 5}$ | 48 | 46.8 | 54 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ | 47 | 47.5 | 43 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | 48 | 48.4 | 48 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 50 | 49.5 | 53 |
| 2005 | 52 | 51.5 | 58 |
| 2006 | 53 | 51.8 | 59 |
| 2007 | 53 | 52.0 | 59 |
| 2008 | 54 | 52.1 | 56 |
| 2009 | 54 | 54.4 | 57 |
| 2010 | 55 | 52.8 | 58 |

*Median - half older, half younger
*Mode - single age most represented

Data on Age Trends for Elders 1985-2010

| Year | No. of Elders | Elders under 35 | $\%$ <br> Elders <br> under $35$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Elders } \\ 35-54 \end{gathered}$ | Elders <br> 35-54 | Elders $55-72$ | Elders <br> 55-72 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1985 | 21,378 | 3,219 | 15.06\% | 12,305 | 57.56\% | 5,854 | 27.38\% |
| 1990 | 21,507 | 2,385 | 11.09\% | 12,678 | 58.95\% | 6,444 | 29.96\% |
| 1995 | 20,117 | 1,312 | 6.52\% | 12,843 | 63.84\% | 5,962 | 29.64\% |
| 2000 | 18,576 | 906 | 4.88\% | 12,005 | 64.63\% | 5,665 | 30.50\% |
| 2005 | 18,141 | 850 | 4.69\% | 9,872 | 54.42\% | 7,419 | 40.90\% |
| 2006 | 18,005 | 881 | 4.89\% | 9,482 | 52.66\% | 7,642 | 42.44\% |
| 2007 | 17,800 | 876 | 4.92\% | 9,032 | 50.74\% | 7,892 | 44.34\% |
| 2008 | 17,480 | 910 | 5.21\% | 8,587 | 49.12\% | 7,983 | 45.67\% |
| 2009 | 17,254 | 906 | 5.25\% | 8,139 | 47.17\% | 8,209 | 47.58\% |
| 2010 | 17,293 | 946 | 5.47\% | 7,698 | 44.52\% | 8,649 | 50.01\% |

## Elders

## Historical Trends for Elders

Below are more extensive age trends than have appeared in previous reports. Data from previous studies help track patterns from the early twentieth century.

## Changes in Median Age of Elders



Average and Median Ages

| Elders | 1926 | 1943 | 1948 | 1952 | 1956 | 1973 | 1978 | 1985 | 1990 | 1995 | 2000 | 2005 | 2010 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average <br> Age | 48.6 |  |  |  |  | 45.1 | 45.1 | 46.8 | 47.5 | 48.4 | 49.5 | 51.5 | 52.8 |
| Median <br> Age | 48 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 44 | 45 | 41 | 48 | 47 | 48 | 50 | 52 | 55 |

Changes in Age Tiers 1926 to 2010


| Elders | 1926 | 1943 | 1948 | 1952 | 1956 | 1973 | 1978 | 1985 | 1990 | 1995 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 2005 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under <br> 35 | $20 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $6.52 \%$ | $4.88 \%$ | $4.69 \%$ | $5.47 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $35-54$ | $59 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $63.84 \%$ | $64.63 \%$ | $54.42 \%$ | $44.52 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $55-72$ | $31 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $29.64 \%$ | $30.50 \%$ | $40.90 \%$ | $50.01 \%$ |

1926 data are for the Methodist Episcopal Church, 1939-1968 data are for The Methodist Church, 1968 to present data are for The United Methodist Church

## Sources:

1926: Journal of the Thirtieth Delegated General Conference of the Methodist Episcopal Church, 1928
1943: A Study of Retirement \& Recruitment in the Methodist Ministry by Murray H. Leiffer, Garrett Biblical Institute 1948: The Methodist Ministry in 1948, Commission on Ministerial Training
1952-1956: The Methodist Ministry in 1956, Report of Study Committee to 1956 General Conference
1973-1978: The Age of Ministers by Alan K. Waltz, General Council on Ministries, 1973 and 1978
1985-2010: General Board of Pension and Health Benefits, United Methodist Church

## Mean, Median, and Mode Ages by Conference

*Some have no mode value because no unique mode exists (no single age occurred more frequently than another).

| cONFERENCE NAME | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ <br> Mean | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ <br> Median | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ <br> Mode |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama-West Florida | 51.02 | 53 | 62 |
| Alaska Missionary | 43.00 | 41 | -- |
| Arkansas | 51.07 | 54 | 61 |
| Baltimore-Washington | 53.92 | 55 | 59 |
| California-Nevada | 54.57 | 56 | 58 |
| California-Pacific | 53.19 | 54 | 52 |
| Central Pennsylvania | 52.79 | 54 | 53 |
| Central Texas | 52.32 | 55 | -- |
| Dakotas | 53.42 | 56 | 58 |
| Desert Southwest | 54.19 | 57 | 57 |
| Detroit | 53.93 | 56 | 59 |
| East Ohio | 53.59 | 55 | -- |
| Eastern Pennsylvania | 53.94 | 55 | 57 |
| Florida | 52.30 | 54 | 58 |
| Greater New Jersey | 54.02 | 55 | -- |
| Holston | 51.04 | 52 | -- |
| Illinois Great Rivers | 52.68 | 54 | 53 |
| Indiana | 53.05 | 55 | 56 |
| lowa | 53.44 | 56 | 58 |
| Kansas East | 53.79 | 56 | 58 |
| Kansas West | 53.65 | 56 | 58 |
| Kentucky | 52.62 | 54 | 58 |
| Louisiana | 51.45 | 53 | 53 |
| Memphis | 53.93 | 56 | 62 |
| Minnesota | 52.54 | 54 | 58 |
| Mississippi | 50.96 | 53 | -- |
| Missouri | 52.02 | 54 | 55 |
| Nebraska | 54.66 | 56 | 58 |
| New England | 57 | 61 |  |
| New Mexico | 54 |  |  |
| New York | 53 |  |  |
| North Alabama | 56 | 62 |  |
|  | 54 | 59 |  |
|  |  | 53 |  |


| conFERENCE NAME | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ <br> Mean | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ <br> Median | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ <br> Mode |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North Carolina | 54.97 | 56 | 62 |
| North Central New York | 52.01 | 53 | 52 |
| North Georgia | 52.08 | 53 | -- |
| North Texas | 52.57 | 54 | 61 |
| Northern Illinois | 52.03 | 54 | -- |
| Northwest Texas | 51.64 | 54 | 58 |
| OK Indian Missionary | 59.29 | 58 | -- |
| Oklahoma | 53.66 | 56 | 56 |
| Oregon-Idaho | 54.07 | 56 | -- |
| Pacific Northwest | 54.81 | 56 | 60 |
| Peninsula-Delaware | 62.50 | 63 | -- |
| Red Bird Missionary | 53.90 | 52 | -- |
| Rio Grande | 53.60 | 56 | 58 |
| Rocky Mountain | 53.29 | 56 | -- |
| South Carolina | 51.98 | 54 | -- |
| South Georgia | 54.80 | 57 | 57 |
| Southwest Texas | 51.72 | 55 | 62 |
| Tennessee | 51.64 | 53 | 55 |
| Texas | 55.07 | 58 | 58 |
| Troy | 52.05 | 54 | 54 |
| Virginia | 54.39 | 55 | 61 |
| West Michigan | 52.36 | 54 | 57 |
| West Ohio | 52.52 | 55 | 61 |
| West Virginia | 54.09 | 55 | -- |
| Western New York | 52.31 | 53 | 58 |
| Western North Carolina | 53.42 | 55 | 60 |
| Western Pennsylvania | 53.84 | 56 | 57 |
| Wisconsin | 56 | 57 | 63 |
| Wyoming | 58 | 62 |  |
| Yellowstone |  |  |  |
|  | 56 | 56 |  |

## Presence of Elders under 35 by Annual Conference 2008 to 2010

| CONFERENCE | 2010 <br> Elders <br> under <br> 35 | 2010 <br> Elders <br> under <br> 35 | 2010 <br> Elders | 2009 <br> Elders <br> under <br> 35 | 2009 <br> Elders under 35 | 2009 <br> Elders | 2008 <br> Elders under 35 | 2008 <br> Elders under 35 | 2008 <br> Elders |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama-West Florida | 8.10\% | 23 | 284 | 5.56\% | 16 | 288 | 8.51\% | 24 | 282 |
| Alaska Missionary | 0.00\% | 0 | 4 | 33.33\% | 1 | 3 | 0.00\% | 0 | 3 |
| Arkansas | 8.09\% | 22 | 272 | 8.61\% | 23 | 267 | 9.29\% | 25 | 269 |
| Baltimore-Washington | 4.18\% | 17 | 407 | 4.51\% | 19 | 421 | 4.17\% | 18 | 432 |
| California-Nevada | 2.93\% | 9 | 307 | 2.56\% | 8 | 313 | 3.15\% | 10 | 317 |
| California-Pacific | 2.65\% | 10 | 378 | 3.15\% | 12 | 381 | 2.72\% | 11 | 405 |
| Central Pennsylvania | 5.86\% | 18 | 307 | 6.56\% | 20 | 305 | 7.02\% | 21 | 299 |
| Central Texas | 9.92\% | 25 | 252 | 8.20\% | 21 | 256 | 7.54\% | 19 | 252 |
| Dakotas | 4.73\% | 7 | 148 | 5.59\% | 8 | 143 | 7.53\% | 11 | 146 |
| Desert Southwest | 3.03\% | 4 | 132 | 3.76\% | 5 | 133 | 3.70\% | 5 | 135 |
| Detroit | 3.82\% | 11 | 288 | 4.07\% | 12 | 295 | 5.56\% | 17 | 306 |
| East Ohio | 3.83\% | 14 | 366 | 4.66\% | 18 | 386 | 4.90\% | 20 | 408 |
| Eastern Pennsylvania | 1.70\% | 5 | 294 | 1.74\% | 5 | 288 | 2.36\% | 7 | 297 |
| Florida | 3.89\% | 19 | 489 | 4.40\% | 22 | 500 | 5.62\% | 29 | 516 |
| Greater New Jersey | 4.35\% | 17 | 391 | 3.76\% | 15 | 399 | 2.86\% | 11 | 384 |
| Holston | 9.50\% | 32 | 337 | 9.82\% | 32 | 326 | 7.30\% | 23 | 315 |
| Illinois Great Rivers | 5.66\% | 21 | 371 | 4.59\% | 17 | 370 | 3.62\% | 14 | 387 |
| Indiana | 5.75\% | 34 | 591 | 5.35\% | 32 | 598 | 5.02\% | 30 | 598 |
| Iowa | 4.81\% | 19 | 395 | 4.63\% | 18 | 389 | 4.36\% | 17 | 390 |
| Kansas East | 4.52\% | 7 | 155 | 4.46\% | 7 | 157 | 6.79\% | 11 | 162 |
| Kansas West | 6.29\% | 11 | 175 | 5.78\% | 10 | 173 | 6.40\% | 11 | 172 |
| Kentucky | 4.44\% | 12 | 270 | 3.69\% | 10 | 271 | 2.20\% | 6 | 273 |
| Louisiana | 10.39\% | 24 | 231 | 7.96\% | 18 | 226 | 8.05\% | 19 | 236 |
| Memphis | 4.52\% | 8 | 177 | 3.93\% | 7 | 178 | 3.76\% | 7 | 186 |
| Minnesota | 6.75\% | 17 | 252 | 6.00\% | 15 | 250 | 5.28\% | 13 | 246 |
| Mississippi | 11.11\% | 41 | 369 | 11.11\% | 41 | 369 | 8.79\% | 32 | 364 |
| Missouri | 6.65\% | 22 | 331 | 6.12\% | 20 | 327 | 6.19\% | 21 | 339 |
| Nebraska | 2.44\% | 4 | 164 | 2.40\% | 4 | 167 | 1.20\% | 2 | 166 |
| New England | 1.69\% | 5 | 296 | 2.65\% | 8 | 302 | 2.52\% | 7 | 278 |
| New Mexico | 5.05\% | 5 | 99 | 4.21\% | 4 | 95 | 5.26\% | 5 | 95 |
| New York | 2.48\% | 8 | 323 | 2.42\% | 7 | 289 | 2.65\% | 8 | 302 |
| North Alabama | 7.83\% | 26 | 332 | 6.78\% | 23 | 339 | 8.50\% | 30 | 353 |

## Presence of Elders under 35

 by Annual Conference 2008 to 2010, continued| CONFERENCE | 2010 <br> Elders under 35 | 2010 <br> Elders under 35 | $2010$ <br> Elders | 2009 <br> Elders under 35 | 2009 <br> Elders under 35 | $\begin{aligned} & 2009 \\ & \text { Elders } \end{aligned}$ | 2008 <br> Elders under 35 | 2008 <br> Elders under 35 | $\begin{aligned} & 2008 \\ & \text { Elders } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North Carolina | 7.06\% | 32 | 453 | 6.22\% | 28 | 450 | 5.49\% | 25 | 455 |
| North Central New York | 3.94\% | 5 | 127 | 4.03\% | 5 | 124 | 3.65\% | 5 | 137 |
| North Georgia | 6.43\% | 37 | 575 | 6.06\% | 35 | 578 | 6.45\% | 38 | 589 |
| North Texas | 3.30\% | 9 | 273 | 6.25\% | 17 | 272 | 5.88\% | 16 | 272 |
| Northern Illinois | 5.71\% | 18 | 315 | 5.47\% | 17 | 311 | 4.09\% | 13 | 318 |
| Northwest Texas | 7.63\% | 9 | 118 | 6.19\% | 7 | 113 | 5.98\% | 7 | 117 |
| OK Indian Missionary | 0.00\% | 0 | 14 | 0.00\% | 0 | 14 | 0.00\% | 0 | 13 |
| Oklahoma | 9.12\% | 30 | 329 | 8.51\% | 28 | 329 | 8.36\% | 28 | 335 |
| Oregon-Idaho | 5.45\% | 9 | 165 | 4.29\% | 6 | 140 | 4.79\% | 7 | 146 |
| Pacific Northwest | 3.81\% | 8 | 210 | 2.91\% | 6 | 206 | 3.43\% | 7 | 204 |
| Peninsula-Delaware | 1.70\% | 3 | 176 | 1.71\% | 3 | 175 | 1.08\% | 2 | 186 |
| Red Bird Missionary | 0.00\% | 0 | 2 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0 |
| Rio Grande | 0.00\% | 0 | 41 | 0.00\% | 0 | 42 | 0.00\% | 0 | 38 |
| Rocky Mountain | 5.12\% | 11 | 215 | 5.66\% | 12 | 212 | 4.55\% | 10 | 220 |
| South Carolina | 5.84\% | 27 | 462 | 5.98\% | 28 | 468 | 6.67\% | 32 | 480 |
| South Georgia | 6.25\% | 18 | 288 | 6.57\% | 19 | 289 | 5.19\% | 15 | 289 |
| Southwest Texas | 3.42\% | 8 | 234 | 2.59\% | 6 | 232 | 3.23\% | 8 | 248 |
| Tennessee | 8.29\% | 17 | 205 | 4.90\% | 10 | 204 | 6.06\% | 12 | 198 |
| Texas | 5.44\% | 23 | 423 | 4.88\% | 21 | 430 | 4.65\% | 20 | 430 |
| Troy | 2.08\% | 2 | 96 | 1.06\% | 1 | 94 | 1.11\% | 1 | 90 |
| Virginia | 8.40\% | 56 | 667 | 6.94\% | 46 | 663 | 6.55\% | 43 | 656 |
| West Michigan | 1.92\% | 4 | 208 | 2.79\% | 6 | 215 | 2.76\% | 6 | 217 |
| West Ohio | 2.40\% | 14 | 584 | 2.55\% | 15 | 588 | 2.99\% | 18 | 603 |
| West Virginia | 6.67\% | 16 | 240 | 7.05\% | 17 | 241 | 5.20\% | 13 | 250 |
| Western New York | 3.17\% | 4 | 126 | 2.50\% | 3 | 120 | 3.15\% | 4 | 127 |
| Western North Carolina | 7.54\% | 57 | 756 | 8.36\% | 62 | 742 | 8.12\% | 60 | 739 |
| Western Pennsylvania | 4.23\% | 16 | 378 | 3.96\% | 15 | 379 | 4.33\% | 17 | 393 |
| Wisconsin | 4.55\% | 12 | 264 | 4.17\% | 11 | 264 | 5.36\% | 14 | 261 |
| Wyoming | 2.63\% | 3 | 114 | 2.68\% | 3 | 112 | 3.64\% | 4 | 110 |
| Yellowstone | 2.08\% | 1 | 48 | 2.33\% | 1 | 43 | 2.17\% | 1 | 46 |
| Total | 5.47\% | 946 | 17,291 | 5.25\% | 906 | 17,254 | 5.21\% | 910 | 17,480 |

Presence of Elders under 35 by 2010 Percentage 2008 to 2010

| CONFERENCE | 2010 <br> Elders <br> under <br> 35 | 2010 <br> Elders under 35 | $2010$ <br> Elders | 2009 <br> Elders under 35 | 2009 <br> Elders <br> under 35 | 2009 <br> Elders | 2008 <br> Elders <br> under <br> 35 | 2008 <br> Elders under 35 | 2008 <br> Elders |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mississippi | 11.11\% | 41 | 369 | 11.11\% | 41 | 369 | 8.79\% | 32 | 364 |
| Louisiana | 10.39\% | 24 | 231 | 7.96\% | 18 | 226 | 8.05\% | 19 | 236 |
| Central Texas | 9.92\% | 25 | 252 | 8.20\% | 21 | 256 | 7.54\% | 19 | 252 |
| Holston | 9.50\% | 32 | 337 | 9.82\% | 32 | 326 | 7.30\% | 23 | 315 |
| Oklahoma | 9.12\% | 30 | 329 | 8.51\% | 28 | 329 | 8.36\% | 28 | 335 |
| Virginia | 8.40\% | 56 | 667 | 6.94\% | 46 | 663 | 6.55\% | 43 | 656 |
| Tennessee | 8.29\% | 17 | 205 | 4.90\% | 10 | 204 | 6.06\% | 12 | 198 |
| Alabama-West Florida | 8.10\% | 23 | 284 | 5.56\% | 16 | 288 | 8.51\% | 24 | 282 |
| Arkansas | 8.09\% | 22 | 272 | 8.61\% | 23 | 267 | 9.29\% | 25 | 269 |
| North Alabama | 7.83\% | 26 | 332 | 6.78\% | 23 | 339 | 8.50\% | 30 | 353 |
| Northwest Texas | 7.63\% | 9 | 118 | 6.19\% | 7 | 113 | 5.98\% | 7 | 117 |
| Western North Carolina | 7.54\% | 57 | 756 | 8.36\% | 62 | 742 | 8.12\% | 60 | 739 |
| North Carolina | 7.06\% | 32 | 453 | 6.22\% | 28 | 450 | 5.49\% | 25 | 455 |
| Minnesota | 6.75\% | 17 | 252 | 6.00\% | 15 | 250 | 5.28\% | 13 | 246 |
| West Virginia | 6.67\% | 16 | 240 | 7.05\% | 17 | 241 | 5.20\% | 13 | 250 |
| Missouri | 6.65\% | 22 | 331 | 6.12\% | 20 | 327 | 6.19\% | 21 | 339 |
| North Georgia | 6.43\% | 37 | 575 | 6.06\% | 35 | 578 | 6.45\% | 38 | 589 |
| Kansas West | 6.29\% | 11 | 175 | 5.78\% | 10 | 173 | 6.40\% | 11 | 172 |
| South Georgia | 6.25\% | 18 | 288 | 6.57\% | 19 | 289 | 5.19\% | 15 | 289 |
| Central Pennsylvania | 5.86\% | 18 | 307 | 6.56\% | 20 | 305 | 7.02\% | 21 | 299 |
| South Carolina | 5.84\% | 27 | 462 | 5.98\% | 28 | 468 | 6.67\% | 32 | 480 |
| Indiana | 5.75\% | 34 | 591 | 5.35\% | 32 | 598 | 5.02\% | 30 | 598 |
| Northern Illinois | 5.71\% | 18 | 315 | 5.47\% | 17 | 311 | 4.09\% | 13 | 318 |
| Illinois Great Rivers | 5.66\% | 21 | 371 | 4.59\% | 17 | 370 | 3.62\% | 14 | 387 |
| Oregon-Idaho | 5.45\% | 9 | 165 | 4.29\% | 6 | 140 | 4.79\% | 7 | 146 |
| Texas | 5.44\% | 23 | 423 | 4.88\% | 21 | 430 | 4.65\% | 20 | 430 |
| Rocky Mountain | 5.12\% | 11 | 215 | 5.66\% | 12 | 212 | 4.55\% | 10 | 220 |
| New Mexico | 5.05\% | 5 | 99 | 4.21\% | 4 | 95 | 5.26\% | 5 | 95 |
| Iowa | 4.81\% | 19 | 395 | 4.63\% | 18 | 389 | 4.36\% | 17 | 390 |
| Dakotas | 4.73\% | 7 | 148 | 5.59\% | 8 | 143 | 7.53\% | 11 | 146 |
| Wisconsin | 4.55\% | 12 | 264 | 4.17\% | 11 | 264 | 5.36\% | 14 | 261 |

## Elders

## Presence of Elders under 35

 by 2010 Percentage2008 to 2010, continued

| CONFERENCE | 2010 <br> Elders under 35 | 2010 <br> Elders under 35 | $2010$ <br> Elders | 2009 <br> Elders under 35 | 2009 <br> Elders under 35 | 2009 <br> Elders | 2008 <br> Elders under 35 | 2008 <br> Elders <br> under <br> 35 | 2008 <br> Elders |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Memphis | 4.52\% | 8 | 177 | 3.93\% | 7 | 178 | 3.76\% | 7 | 186 |
| Kansas East | 4.52\% | 7 | 155 | 4.46\% | 7 | 157 | 6.79\% | 11 | 162 |
| Kentucky | 4.44\% | 12 | 270 | 3.69\% | 10 | 271 | 2.20\% | 6 | 273 |
| Greater New Jersey | 4.35\% | 17 | 391 | 3.76\% | 15 | 399 | 2.86\% | 11 | 384 |
| Western Pennsylvania | 4.23\% | 16 | 378 | 3.96\% | 15 | 379 | 4.33\% | 17 | 393 |
| Baltimore-Washington | 4.18\% | 17 | 407 | 4.51\% | 19 | 421 | 4.17\% | 18 | 432 |
| North Central New York | 3.94\% | 5 | 127 | 4.03\% | 5 | 124 | 3.65\% | 5 | 137 |
| Florida | 3.89\% | 19 | 489 | 4.40\% | 22 | 500 | 5.62\% | 29 | 516 |
| East Ohio | 3.83\% | 14 | 366 | 4.66\% | 18 | 386 | 4.90\% | 20 | 408 |
| Detroit | 3.82\% | 11 | 288 | 4.07\% | 12 | 295 | 5.56\% | 17 | 306 |
| Pacific Northwest | 3.81\% | 8 | 210 | 2.91\% | 6 | 206 | 3.43\% | 7 | 204 |
| Southwest Texas | 3.42\% | 8 | 234 | 2.59\% | 6 | 232 | 3.23\% | 8 | 248 |
| North Texas | 3.30\% | 9 | 273 | 6.25\% | 17 | 272 | 5.88\% | 16 | 272 |
| Western New York | 3.17\% | 4 | 126 | 2.50\% | 3 | 120 | 3.15\% | 4 | 127 |
| Desert Southwest | 3.03\% | 4 | 132 | 3.76\% | 5 | 133 | 3.70\% | 5 | 135 |
| California-Nevada | 2.93\% | 9 | 307 | 2.56\% | 8 | 313 | 3.15\% | 10 | 317 |
| California-Pacific | 2.65\% | 10 | 378 | 3.15\% | 12 | 381 | 2.72\% | 11 | 405 |
| Wyoming | 2.63\% | 3 | 114 | 2.68\% | 3 | 112 | 3.64\% | 4 | 110 |
| New York | 2.48\% | 8 | 323 | 2.42\% | 7 | 289 | 2.65\% | 8 | 302 |
| Nebraska | 2.44\% | 4 | 164 | 2.40\% | 4 | 167 | 1.20\% | 2 | 166 |
| West Ohio | 2.40\% | 14 | 584 | 2.55\% | 15 | 588 | 2.99\% | 18 | 603 |
| Troy | 2.08\% | 2 | 96 | 1.06\% | 1 | 94 | 1.11\% | 1 | 90 |
| Yellowstone | 2.08\% | 1 | 48 | 2.33\% | 1 | 43 | 2.17\% | 1 | 46 |
| West Michigan | 1.92\% | 4 | 208 | 2.79\% | 6 | 215 | 2.76\% | 6 | 217 |
| Peninsula-Delaware | 1.70\% | 3 | 176 | 1.71\% | 3 | 175 | 1.08\% | 2 | 186 |
| Eastern Pennsylvania | 1.70\% | 5 | 294 | 1.74\% | 5 | 288 | 2.36\% | 7 | 297 |
| New England | 1.69\% | 5 | 296 | 2.65\% | 8 | 302 | 2.52\% | 7 | 278 |
| Alaska Missionary | 0.00\% | 0 | 4 | 33.33\% | 1 | 3 | 0.00\% | 0 | 3 |
| OK Indian Missionary | 0.00\% | 0 | 14 | 0.00\% | 0 | 14 | 0.00\% | 0 | 13 |
| Red Bird Missionary | 0.00\% | 0 | 2 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0 |
| Rio Grande | 0.00\% | 0 | 41 | 0.00\% | 0 | 42 | 0.00\% | 0 | 38 |
| Total | 5.47\% | 946 | 17,291 | 5.25\% | 906 | 17,254 | 5.21\% | 910 | 17,480 |

## Deacons

Ordained deacons as we have now in the United Methodist Church are relatively new, making trend comparisons over many years difficult; however, we do report current age data. We include not only those who have been ordained deacon but also those who have been commissioned on the deacon track but not yet ordained. Readers should keep in mind that the number of total deacons is significantly lower in this report than their presence in the denomination because more deacons than other clergy work in employment settings with pension plans other than through the General Board.



## Median, Average, and Mode Ages - Deacons

 by Year| Year | Median* Age | Average Age | Mode* Age |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | 53 | 51.67 | 50 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | 52 | 51.40 | 51 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | 53 | 51.34 | - |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | 53 | 51.42 | 53 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | 54 | 51.61 | 54 |

*Median - half older, half younger *Mode - single age most represented *Some groups have no mode value because no unique mode exists--no age occurred more frequently than another.

Gender Breakdown within Age Cohorts - Deacons

|  | Men | Under 35 | $\mathbf{3 5} \mathbf{- 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 5} \mathbf{- 7 2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ |  | $37 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ |  | $36 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $21 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ |  | $35 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $29 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |  | $38 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
|  | Women | Under 35 | $\mathbf{3 5 - 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 - 7 2}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ |  | $63 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $81 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ |  | $64 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $79 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ |  | $65 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $71 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |  | $62 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $76 \%$ |

Deacons Under 35 by Jurisdiction

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jurisdiction |  |  |  |
|  | 20 | 14 | 11 |
| North Central | 5 | 3 | 3 |
| Northeastern | 18 | 18 | 20 |
| South Central | 45 | 40 | 33 |
| Southeastern | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Western |  |  |  |
|  | 89 | 77 | 68 |
| Total |  |  |  |

Data on Age Trends for Deacons 2006-2010

| Year | No. of <br> Deacons | No. of <br> Deacons <br> Under <br> $\mathbf{3 5}$ | \% <br> Deacons <br> Under <br> $\mathbf{3 5}$ | No. of <br> Deacons <br> $\mathbf{3 5 - 5 4}$ | \% <br> Deacons <br> $\mathbf{3 5 - 5 4}$ | No. of <br> Deacons <br> $\mathbf{5 5 - 7 2}$ | \% <br> Deacons <br> $\mathbf{5 5 - 7 2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{8 4 4}$ | 52 | $6.16 \%$ | 438 | $51.90 \%$ | 354 | $41.94 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 2}$ | 64 | $7.10 \%$ | 457 | $50.67 \%$ | 381 | $42.24 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 9 7}$ | 69 | $7.69 \%$ | 441 | $49.16 \%$ | 387 | $43.14 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 1 5}$ | 77 | $8.42 \%$ | 438 | $47.87 \%$ | 400 | $43.72 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 3 0}$ | 89 | $9.57 \%$ | 419 | $45.05 \%$ | 422 | $45.38 \%$ |

## Presence of Deacons under 35 by Annual Conference 2008 to 2010

| CONFERENCE NAME | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { \% under } \\ 35 \text { in } 2010 \end{array}\right\|$ | Total under 35 2010 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Deacons } \\ \text { Total } \\ 2010 \end{array}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \% \\ 35 \text { in } 2009 \end{array}\right\|$ | Total under 35 $2009$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Deacons } \\ \text { Total } \\ 2010 \end{array}$ | \% younger than 35 in 2008 | Total under 35 $2008$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Deacons } \\ \text { Total } \\ 2008 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama-West Florida | 18.92\% | 7 | 37 | 13.89\% | 5 | 36 | 11.76\% | 4 | 34 |
| Alaska Missionary | 0.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0 |
| Arkansas | 0.00\% | 0 | 16 | 0.00\% | 0 | 19 | 5.88\% | 1 | 17 |
| Baltimore-Washington | 0.00\% | 0 | 23 | 0.00\% | 0 | 23 | 0.00\% | 0 | 23 |
| California-Nevada | 0.00\% | 0 | 7 | 9.09\% | 1 | 11 | 11.11\% | 1 | 9 |
| California-Pacific | 5.88\% | 1 | 17 | 5.26\% | 1 | 19 | 0.00\% | 0 | 17 |
| Central Pennsylvania | 14.29\% | 1 | 7 | 14.29\% | 1 | 7 | 14.29\% | 1 | 7 |
| Central Texas | 11.54\% | 3 | 26 | 7.69\% | 2 | 26 | 6.90\% | 2 | 29 |
| Dakotas | 0.00\% | 0 | 2 | 0.00\% | 0 | 4 | 0.00\% | 0 | 5 |
| Desert Southwest | 0.00\% | 0 | 11 | 0.00\% | 0 | 11 | 0.00\% | 0 | 11 |
| Detroit | 22.22\% | 4 | 18 | 12.50\% | 2 | 16 | 6.67\% | 1 | 15 |
| East Ohio | 6.25\% | 1 | 16 | 0.00\% | 0 | 18 | 0.00\% | 0 | 18 |
| Eastern Pennsylvania | 0.00\% | 0 | 6 | 0.00\% | 0 | 6 | 0.00\% | 0 | 5 |
| Florida | 6.67\% | 2 | 30 | 9.38\% | 3 | 32 | 6.06\% | 2 | 33 |
| Greater New Jersey | 16.67\% | 3 | 18 | 12.50\% | 2 | 16 | 12.50\% | 2 | 16 |
| Holston | 15.38\% | 2 | 13 | 8.33\% | 1 | 12 | 0.00\% | 0 | 9 |
| Illinois Great Rivers | 0.00\% | 0 | 10 | 0.00\% | 0 | 10 | 0.00\% | 0 | 11 |
| Indiana | 15.79\% | 3 | 19 | 0.00\% | 0 | 16 | 0.00\% | 0 | 17 |
| Iowa | 15.38\% | 2 | 13 | 7.69\% | 1 | 13 | 0.00\% | 0 | 11 |
| Kansas East | 12.50\% | 1 | 8 | 0.00\% | 0 | 8 | 0.00\% | 0 | 9 |
| Kansas West | 0.00\% | 0 | 11 | 0.00\% | 0 | 7 | 0.00\% | 0 | 6 |
| Kentucky | 3.70\% | 1 | 27 | 7.41\% | 2 | 27 | 4.00\% | 1 | 25 |
| Louisiana | 9.09\% | 2 | 22 | 9.09\% | 2 | 22 | 4.76\% | 1 | 21 |
| Memphis | 8.33\% | 1 | 12 | 8.33\% | 1 | 12 | 18.18\% | 2 | 11 |
| Minnesota | 20.00\% | 3 | 15 | 18.75\% | 3 | 16 | 12.50\% | 2 | 16 |
| Mississippi | 8.00\% | 2 | 25 | 8.33\% | 2 | 24 | 7.69\% | 2 | 26 |
| Missouri | 0.00\% | 0 | 20 | 0.00\% | 0 | 20 | 6.25\% | 1 | 16 |
| Nebraska | 0.00\% | 0 | 1 | 0.00\% | 0 | 1 | 0.00\% | 0 | 1 |
| New England | 33.33\% | 1 | 3 | 0.00\% | 0 | 4 | 0.00\% | 0 | 4 |
| New Mexico | 0.00\% | 0 | 8 | 0.00\% | 0 | 7 | 0.00\% | 0 | 7 |
| New York | 0.00\% | 0 | 5 | 0.00\% | 0 | 3 | 0.00\% | 0 | 2 |
| North Alabama | 0.00\% | 0 | 4 | 7.69\% | 1 | 13 | 6.67\% | 1 | 15 |

## Presence of Deacons under 35

 by Annual Conference 2008 to 2010, continued| CONFERENCE NAME | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \% \\ 35 \text { in } 2010 \end{array}\right\|$ | Total under 35 $2010$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Deacons } \\ \text { Total } \\ 2010 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% under } \\ 35 \text { in } 2009 \end{gathered}$ | Total under 35 $2009$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Deacons } \\ \text { Total } \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ | \% younger than 35 in 2008 | Total under 35 $2008$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Deacons } \\ \text { Total } \\ 2008 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North Carolina | 15.38\% | 2 | 13 | 0.00\% | 0 | 22 | 0.00\% | 0 | 22 |
| North Central New York | 0.00\% | 0 | 24 | 0.00\% | 0 | 3 | 0.00\% | 0 | 2 |
| North Georgia | 18.52\% | 10 | 54 | 19.61\% | 10 | 51 | 15.38\% | 8 | 52 |
| North Texas | 7.89\% | 3 | 38 | 13.51\% | 5 | 37 | 11.43\% | 4 | 35 |
| Northern Illinois | 0.00\% | 0 | 5 | 27.78\% | 5 | 18 | 26.32\% | 5 | 19 |
| Northwest Texas | 26.32\% | 5 | 19 | 0.00\% | 0 | 5 | 0.00\% | 0 | 5 |
| Oklahoma | 18.42\% | 7 | 38 | 16.67\% | 6 | 36 | 22.86\% | 8 | 35 |
| OK Indian Missionary | 0.00\% | 0 | 1 | 0.00\% | 0 | 1 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0 |
| Oregon-Idaho | 0.00\% | 0 | 9 | 0.00\% | 0 | 6 | 0.00\% | 0 | 6 |
| Pacific Northwest | 0.00\% | 0 | 9 | 0.00\% | 0 | 8 | 0.00\% | 0 | 9 |
| Peninsula-Delaware | 0.00\% | 0 | 3 | 0.00\% | 0 | 2 | 0.00\% | 0 | 3 |
| Red Bird Missionary | 0.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0 |
| Rio Grande | 0.00\% | 0 | 1 | 50.00\% | 1 | 2 | 50.00\% | 1 | 2 |
| Rocky Mountain | 0.00\% | 0 | 24 | 0.00\% | 0 | 23 | 0.00\% | 0 | 23 |
| South Carolina | 11.54\% | 3 | 26 | 4.35\% | 1 | 23 | 4.35\% | 1 | 23 |
| South Georgia | 16.67\% | 2 | 12 | 15.38\% | 2 | 13 | 0.00\% | 0 | 11 |
| Southwest Texas | 7.69\% | 1 | 13 | 14.29\% | 2 | 14 | 14.29\% | 2 | 14 |
| Tennessee | 17.65\% | 6 | 34 | 11.43\% | 4 | 35 | 12.12\% | 4 | 33 |
| Texas | 3.70\% | 1 | 27 | 0.00\% | 0 | 24 | 3.85\% | 1 | 26 |
| Troy | 0.00\% | 0 | 1 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0 |
| Virginia | 4.17\% | 1 | 24 | 10.00\% | 3 | 30 | 9.38\% | 3 | 32 |
| West Michigan | 0.00\% | 0 | 5 | 0.00\% | 0 | 4 | 0.00\% | 0 | 4 |
| West Ohio | 9.09\% | 2 | 22 | 12.00\% | 3 | 25 | 8.33\% | 2 | 24 |
| West Virginia | 0.00\% | 0 | 11 | 0.00\% | 0 | 11 | 0.00\% | 0 | 8 |
| Western New York | 0.00\% | 0 | 5 | 0.00\% | 0 | 5 | 0.00\% | 0 | 6 |
| Western North Carolina | 13.04\% | 6 | 46 | 11.63\% | 5 | 43 | 12.20\% | 5 | 41 |
| Western Pennsylvania | 0.00\% | 0 | 9 | 0.00\% | 0 | 9 | 0.00\% | 0 | 9 |
| Wisconsin | 0.00\% | 0 | 5 | 0.00\% | 0 | 5 | 20.00\% | 1 | 5 |
| Wyoming | 0.00\% | 0 | 1 | 0.00\% | 0 | 1 | 0.00\% | 0 | 1 |
| Yellowstone | 0.00\% | 0 | 1 | 0.00\% | 0 | 1 | 0.00\% | 0 | 1 |
| Total | 9.57\% | 89 | 930 | 8.42\% | 77 | 915 | 7.69\% | 69 | 897 |

## Presence of Deacons under 35

 by 2010 Percentage 2008 to 2010| CONFERENCE NAME | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \% \\ 35 \text { under } \\ 35 \end{array}\right\|$ | Total under 35 2010 | Deacons Total 2010 | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \% \\ \text { \% under } \\ 35 \text { in } 2009 \end{array}\right\|$ | Total under 35 2009 | Deacons <br> Total <br> 2009 | \% younger than 35 in 2008 | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Total } \\ \text { under } 35 \\ 2008 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Deacons Total 2008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England | 33.33\% | 1 | 3 | 0.00\% | 0 | 4 | 0.00\% | 0 | 4 |
| Northwest Texas | 26.32\% | 5 | 19 | 0.00\% | 0 | 5 | 0.00\% | 0 | 5 |
| Detroit | 22.22\% | 4 | 18 | 12.50\% | 2 | 16 | 6.67\% | 1 | 15 |
| Minnesota | 20.00\% | 3 | 15 | 18.75\% | 3 | 16 | 12.50\% | 2 | 16 |
| Alabama-West Florida | 18.92\% | 7 | 37 | 13.89\% | 5 | 36 | 11.76\% | 4 | 34 |
| North Georgia | 18.52\% | 10 | 54 | 19.61\% | 10 | 51 | 15.38\% | 8 | 52 |
| Oklahoma | 18.42\% | 7 | 38 | 16.67\% | 6 | 36 | 22.86\% | 8 | 35 |
| Tennessee | 17.65\% | 6 | 34 | 11.43\% | 4 | 35 | 12.12\% | 4 | 33 |
| Greater New Jersey | 16.67\% | 3 | 18 | 12.50\% | 2 | 16 | 12.50\% | 2 | 16 |
| South Georgia | 16.67\% | 2 | 12 | 15.38\% | 2 | 13 | 0.00\% | 0 | 11 |
| Indiana | 15.79\% | 3 | 19 | 0.00\% | 0 | 16 | 0.00\% | 0 | 17 |
| Holston | 15.38\% | 2 | 13 | 8.33\% | 1 | 12 | 0.00\% | 0 | 9 |
| lowa | 15.38\% | 2 | 13 | 7.69\% | 1 | 13 | 0.00\% | 0 | 11 |
| North Carolina | 15.38\% | 2 | 13 | 0.00\% | 0 | 22 | 0.00\% | 0 | 22 |
| Central Pennsylvania | 14.29\% | 1 | 7 | 14.29\% | 1 | 7 | 14.29\% | 1 | 7 |
| Western North Carolina | 13.04\% | 6 | 46 | 11.63\% | 5 | 43 | 12.20\% | 5 | 41 |
| Kansas East | 12.50\% | 1 | 8 | 0.00\% | 0 | 8 | 0.00\% | 0 | 9 |
| Central Texas | 11.54\% | 3 | 26 | 7.69\% | 2 | 26 | 6.90\% | 2 | 29 |
| South Carolina | 11.54\% | 3 | 26 | 4.35\% | 1 | 23 | 4.35\% | 1 | 23 |
| Louisiana | 9.09\% | 2 | 22 | 9.09\% | 2 | 22 | 4.76\% | 1 | 21 |
| West Ohio | 9.09\% | 2 | 22 | 12.00\% | 3 | 25 | 8.33\% | 2 | 24 |
| Memphis | 8.33\% | 1 | 12 | 8.33\% | 1 | 12 | 18.18\% | 2 | 11 |
| Mississippi | 8.00\% | 2 | 25 | 8.33\% | 2 | 24 | 7.69\% | 2 | 26 |
| North Texas | 7.89\% | 3 | 38 | 13.51\% | 5 | 37 | 11.43\% | 4 | 35 |
| Southwest Texas | 7.69\% | 1 | 13 | 14.29\% | 2 | 14 | 14.29\% | 2 | 14 |
| Florida | 6.67\% | 2 | 30 | 9.38\% | 3 | 32 | 6.06\% | 2 | 33 |
| East Ohio | 6.25\% | 1 | 16 | 0.00\% | 0 | 18 | 0.00\% | 0 | 18 |
| California-Pacific | 5.88\% | 1 | 17 | 5.26\% | 1 | 19 | 0.00\% | 0 | 17 |
| Virginia | 4.17\% | 1 | 24 | 10.00\% | 3 | 30 | 9.38\% | 3 | 32 |
| Kentucky | 3.70\% | 1 | 27 | 7.41\% | 2 | 27 | 4.00\% | 1 | 25 |
| Texas | 3.70\% | 1 | 27 | 0.00\% | 0 | 24 | 3.85\% | 1 | 26 |
| Alaska Missionary | 0.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0 |

## Presence of Deacons under 35

## by 2010 Percentage

 2008 to 2010, continued| CONFERENCE NAME | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \% \text { under } \\ 35 \text { in } 2010 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ |  | Deacons Total 2010 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \% \text { under } \\ 35 \text { in } 2009 \end{array}$ |  | Deacons Total 2009 | \% <br> younger than 35 in 2008 | Total under 35 2008 | Deacons <br> Total <br> 2008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arkansas | 0.00\% | 0 | 16 | 0.00\% | 0 | 19 | 5.88\% | 1 | 17 |
| Baltimore-Washington | 0.00\% | 0 | 23 | 0.00\% | 0 | 23 | 0.00\% | 0 | 23 |
| California-Nevada | 0.00\% | 0 | 7 | 9.09\% | 1 | 11 | 11.11\% | 1 | 9 |
| Dakotas | 0.00\% | 0 | 2 | 0.00\% | 0 | 4 | 0.00\% | 0 | 5 |
| Desert Southwest | 0.00\% | 0 | 11 | 0.00\% | 0 | 11 | 0.00\% | 0 | 11 |
| Eastern Pennsylvania | 0.00\% | 0 | 6 | 0.00\% | 0 | 6 | 0.00\% | 0 | 5 |
| Illinois Great Rivers | 0.00\% | 0 | 10 | 0.00\% | 0 | 10 | 0.00\% | 0 | 11 |
| Kansas West | 0.00\% | 0 | 11 | 0.00\% | 0 | 7 | 0.00\% | 0 | 6 |
| Missouri | 0.00\% | 0 | 20 | 0.00\% | 0 | 20 | 6.25\% | 1 | 16 |
| Nebraska | 0.00\% | 0 | 1 | 0.00\% | 0 | 1 | 0.00\% | 0 | 1 |
| New Mexico | 0.00\% | 0 | 8 | 0.00\% | 0 | 7 | 0.00\% | 0 | 7 |
| New York | 0.00\% | 0 | 5 | 0.00\% | 0 | 3 | 0.00\% | 0 | 2 |
| North Alabama | 0.00\% | 0 | 4 | 7.69\% | 1 | 13 | 6.67\% | 1 | 15 |
| North Central New York | 0.00\% | 0 | 24 | 0.00\% | 0 | 3 | 0.00\% | 0 | 2 |
| Northern Illinois | 0.00\% | 0 | 5 | 27.78\% | 5 | 18 | 26.32\% | 5 | 19 |
| OK Indian Missionary | 0.00\% | 0 | 1 | 0.00\% | 0 | 1 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0 |
| Oregon-Idaho | 0.00\% | 0 | 9 | 0.00\% | 0 | 6 | 0.00\% | 0 | 6 |
| Pacific Northwest | 0.00\% | 0 | 9 | 0.00\% | 0 | 8 | 0.00\% | 0 | 9 |
| Peninsula-Delaware | 0.00\% | 0 | 3 | 0.00\% | 0 | 2 | 0.00\% | 0 | 3 |
| Red Bird Missionary | 0.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0 |
| Rio Grande | 0.00\% | 0 | 1 | 50.00\% | 1 | 2 | 50.00\% | 1 | 2 |
| Rocky Mountain | 0.00\% | 0 | 24 | 0.00\% | 0 | 23 | 0.00\% | 0 | 23 |
| Troy | 0.00\% | 0 | 1 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0 |
| West Michigan | 0.00\% | 0 | 5 | 0.00\% | 0 | 4 | 0.00\% | 0 | 4 |
| West Virginia | 0.00\% | 0 | 11 | 0.00\% | 0 | 11 | 0.00\% | 0 | 8 |
| Western New York | 0.00\% | 0 | 5 | 0.00\% | 0 | 5 | 0.00\% | 0 | 6 |
| Western Pennsylvania | 0.00\% | 0 | 9 | 0.00\% | 0 | 9 | 0.00\% | 0 | 9 |
| Wisconsin | 0.00\% | 0 | 5 | 0.00\% | 0 | 5 | 20.00\% | 1 | 5 |
| Wyoming | 0.00\% | 0 | 1 | 0.00\% | 0 | 1 | 0.00\% | 0 | 1 |
| Yellowstone | 0.00\% | 0 | 1 | 0.00\% | 0 | 1 | 0.00\% | 0 | 1 |
| Total | 9.57\% | 89 | 930 | 8.42\% | 77 | 915 | 7.69\% | 69 | 897 |

For local pastors, full-time and part-time local pastors are included.



Data on Age Trends for Local Pastors 1985-2010

|  | No. of <br> Local <br> Pastors | Local <br> Pastors <br> Under <br> $\mathbf{3 5}$ | \% Under <br> $\mathbf{3 5}$ | Local <br> Pastors <br> $\mathbf{3 5 - 5 4}$ | \% 35-54 | Local <br> Pastors <br> $\mathbf{5 5 - 7 2}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% 55-72 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1 9 8 5}$ | 3,804 | 130 | $3.4 \%$ | 2,212 | $58.1 \%$ | 1,462 | $38.4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ | 3,936 | 163 | $4.1 \%$ | 2,244 | $57.0 \%$ | 1,529 | $38.8 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | 4,622 | 290 | $6.3 \%$ | 2,641 | $57.1 \%$ | 1,691 | $36.6 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 5,571 | 348 | $6.2 \%$ | 3,109 | $55.8 \%$ | 2,114 | $37.9 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | 6,517 | 371 | $5.7 \%$ | 3,213 | $49.3 \%$ | 2,933 | $45.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | 6,731 | 363 | $5.4 \%$ | 3,201 | $47.6 \%$ | 3,167 | $47.1 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | 6,863 | 376 | $5.5 \%$ | 3,166 | $46.1 \%$ | 3,321 | $48.4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | 6,981 | 367 | $5.3 \%$ | 3,094 | $44.3 \%$ | 3,520 | $50.4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | 7,164 | 397 | $5.5 \%$ | 3,039 | $42.4 \%$ | 3,728 | $52.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | 7,341 | 426 | $5.8 \%$ | 2,932 | $39.9 \%$ | 3,983 | $54.3 \%$ |

Median, Average, and Mode Ages - Local Pastors by Year

|  | Median Age* | Average Age | Mode Age* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 9 8 5}$ | 51 | 49.6 | 59 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ | 51 | 50.4 | 60 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | 51 | 50.3 | 48 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 52 | 50.8 | 53 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | 53 | 52.2 | 58 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | 54 | 52.5 | 59 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | 54 | 52.8 | 60 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | 55 | 53.3 | 59 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | 55 | 53.5 | 60 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | 55 | 53.8 | 51 |

* Median - half older, half younger
* Mode - single age most represented

Gender Breakdown within Age Cohorts - Local Pastors

|  | Men | Under 35 | $\mathbf{3 5 - 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 - 7 2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ |  | $\mathbf{7 6 \%}$ | $71 \%$ | $69 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ |  | $75 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $68 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ |  | $77 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $68 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |  | $77 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $67 \%$ |
|  | Women | Under 35 | $\mathbf{3 5 - 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 - 7 2}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ |  | $24 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $31 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ |  | $25 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $32 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ |  | $23 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $32 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |  | $23 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $33 \%$ |

Local Pastors under 35 by Jurisdictions

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jurisdiction |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| North Central | 62 | 57 | 46 |
| Northeastern | 79 | 91 | 76 |
| South Central | 99 | 99 | 93 |
| Southeastern | 171 | 130 | 135 |
| Western | 15 | 20 | 17 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total | 426 | 397 | 367 |

## Presence of Local Pastors under 35

 by Annual Conference 2008 to 2010| CONFERENCE NAME | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \% \\ \text { under } \\ 35 \text { in } \\ 2010 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \# \text { under } \\ 35 \text { in } \\ 2010 \end{array}\right\|$ | Local <br> Pastors <br> Total <br> 2010 | \% under 35 in 2009 | \# under 35 in 2009 | Local Pastors <br> Total <br> 2009 | $\%$ under 35 in 2008 | \# under 35 in 2008 | Local Pastors Total 2008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama-West Florida | 7.02\% | 12 | 171 | 7.98\% | 13 | 163 | 6.76\% | 10 | 148 |
| Alaska Missionary | 0.00\% | 0 | 2 | 25.00\% | 1 | 4 | 0.00\% | 0 | 2 |
| Arkansas | 3.98\% | 8 | 201 | 3.72\% | 7 | 188 | 4.74\% | 9 | 190 |
| Baltimore-Washington | 8.16\% | 8 | 98 | 3.96\% | 4 | 101 | 4.12\% | 4 | 97 |
| California-Nevada | 5.88\% | 3 | 51 | 3.70\% | 2 | 54 | 1.85\% | 1 | 54 |
| California-Pacific | 9.86\% | 7 | 71 | 12.66\% | 10 | 79 | 12.33\% | 9 | 73 |
| Central Pennsylvania | 6.25\% | 10 | 160 | 8.92\% | 14 | 157 | 7.53\% | 11 | 146 |
| Central Texas | 2.70\% | 1 | 37 | 5.41\% | 2 | 37 | 7.50\% | 3 | 40 |
| Dakotas | 3.03\% | 1 | 33 | 5.13\% | 2 | 39 | 6.45\% | 2 | 31 |
| Desert Southwest | 3.45\% | 1 | 29 | 0.00\% | 0 | 28 | 0.00\% | 0 | 26 |
| Detroit | 1.15\% | 1 | 87 | 2.20\% | 2 | 91 | 1.11\% | 1 | 90 |
| East Ohio | 5.71\% | 12 | 210 | 2.55\% | 5 | 196 | 2.58\% | 5 | 194 |
| Eastern Pennsylvania | 2.86\% | 4 | 140 | 5.13\% | 6 | 117 | 2.83\% | 3 | 106 |
| Florida | 5.81\% | 10 | 172 | 4.00\% | 6 | 150 | 3.47\% | 5 | 144 |
| Greater New Jersey | 4.88\% | 8 | 164 | 6.62\% | 10 | 151 | 6.72\% | 9 | 134 |
| Holston | 4.17\% | 6 | 144 | 3.25\% | 5 | 154 | 6.63\% | 11 | 166 |
| Illinois Great Rivers | 5.14\% | 9 | 175 | 5.62\% | 10 | 178 | 4.09\% | 7 | 171 |
| Indiana | 5.39\% | 9 | 167 | 2.88\% | 12 | 416 | 5.79\% | 11 | 190 |
| Iowa | 2.94\% | 5 | 170 | 3.78\% | 7 | 185 | 3.21\% | 6 | 187 |
| Kansas East | 10.94\% | 7 | 64 | 9.09\% | 6 | 66 | 10.45\% | 7 | 67 |
| Kansas West | 8.00\% | 6 | 75 | 5.33\% | 4 | 75 | 0.00\% | 0 | 67 |
| Kentucky | 9.88\% | 16 | 162 | 6.50\% | 8 | 123 | 6.50\% | 8 | 123 |
| Louisiana | 5.33\% | 8 | 150 | 9.80\% | 15 | 153 | 8.05\% | 12 | 149 |
| Memphis | 8.47\% | 5 | 59 | 8.06\% | 5 | 62 | 12.31\% | 8 | 65 |
| Minnesota | 4.65\% | 2 | 43 | 6.98\% | 3 | 43 | 0.00\% | 0 | 39 |
| Mississippi | 5.67\% | 16 | 282 | 4.94\% | 12 | 243 | 3.40\% | 8 | 235 |
| Missouri | 6.90\% | 16 | 232 | 8.48\% | 19 | 224 | 9.01\% | 21 | 233 |
| Nebraska | 6.94\% | 5 | 72 | 4.55\% | 3 | 66 | 3.45\% | 2 | 58 |
| New England | 5.00\% | 6 | 120 | 4.50\% | 5 | 111 | 3.23\% | 3 | 93 |
| New Mexico | 0.00\% | 0 | 27 | 0.00\% | 0 | 24 | 0.00\% | 0 | 24 |
| New York | 7.02\% | 4 | 57 | 8.62\% | 5 | 58 | 9.84\% | 6 | 61 |
| North Alabama | 8.27\% | 22 | 266 | 6.20\% | 16 | 258 | 4.44\% | 11 | 248 |

## Presence of Local Pastors under 35 by Annual Conference <br> 2008 to 2010, continued

| CONFERENCE NAME | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { \% } \\ \text { under } \\ 35 \text { in } \\ 2010 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \# \text { under } \\ 35 \text { in } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | Local Pastors <br> Total 2010 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \\ \text { under } \\ 35 \text { in } \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \# \text { under } \\ 35 \text { in } \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ | Local Pastors <br> Total 2009 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \\ \text { under } \\ 35 \text { in } \\ 2008 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \# \text { under } \\ 35 \text { in } \\ 2008 \end{array}$ | Local Pastors Total 2008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North Carolina | 3.88\% | 8 | 206 | 5.74\% | 12 | 209 | 8.17\% | 17 | 208 |
| North Central New York | 1.11\% | 1 | 90 | 0.00\% | 0 | 83 | 1.31\% | 1 | 76 |
| North Georgia | 7.48\% | 24 | 321 | 7.30\% | 23 | 315 | 6.78\% | 20 | 295 |
| North Texas | 4.00\% | 4 | 100 | 4.17\% | 4 | 96 | 6.28\% | 6 | 88 |
| Northern Illinois | 4.62\% | 6 | 65 | 2.86\% | 2 | 70 | 1.41\% | 1 | 71 |
| Northwest Texas | 11.76\% | 3 | 51 | 8.51\% | 4 | 47 | 8.70\% | 4 | 46 |
| Oklahoma | 10.85\% | 14 | 129 | 9.60\% | 12 | 125 | 7.02\% | 8 | 114 |
| OK Indian Missionary | 5.56\% | 1 | 18 | 16.00\% | 4 | 25 | 11.11\% | 3 | 27 |
| Oregon-Idaho | 7.14\% | 2 | 28 | 14.29\% | 4 | 28 | 16.00\% | 4 | 25 |
| Pacific Northwest | 7.14\% | 2 | 28 | 11.54\% | 3 | 26 | 4.55\% | 1 | 22 |
| Peninsula-Delaware | 3.70\% | 3 | 81 | 1.28\% | 1 | 78 | 0.00\% | 0 | 77 |
| Red Bird Missionary | 0.00\% | 0 | 6 | 0.00\% | 0 | 9 | 0.00\% | 0 | 9 |
| Rio Grande | 0.00\% | 0 | 18 | 0.00\% | 0 | 19 | 0.00\% | 0 | 16 |
| Rocky Mountain | 0.00\% | 0 | 50 | 0.00\% | 0 | 46 | 4.26\% | 2 | 47 |
| South Carolina | 3.98\% | 8 | 201 | 4.32\% | 8 | 185 | 3.65\% | 7 | 192 |
| South Georgia | 10.53\% | 16 | 152 | 5.48\% | 8 | 146 | 6.62\% | 9 | 136 |
| Southwest Texas | 10.48\% | 13 | 124 | 8.62\% | 10 | 116 | 4.63\% | 5 | 108 |
| Tennessee | 5.99\% | 10 | 167 | 7.41\% | 12 | 162 | 6.29\% | 10 | 159 |
| Texas | 7.60\% | 13 | 171 | 5.23\% | 9 | 172 | 7.39\% | 13 | 176 |
| Troy | 1.96\% | 1 | 51 | 0.00\% | 0 | 50 | 1.96\% | 1 | 51 |
| Virginia | 5.45\% | 15 | 275 | 5.73\% | 16 | 279 | 6.60\% | 19 | 288 |
| West Michigan | 2.88\% | 3 | 104 | 2.88\% | 3 | 104 | 1.98\% | 2 | 101 |
| West Ohio | 7.81\% | 10 | 128 | 6.52\% | 9 | 138 | 6.43\% | 9 | 140 |
| West Virginia | 4.17\% | 10 | 240 | 3.75\% | 9 | 240 | 2.95\% | 7 | 237 |
| Western New York | 12.50\% | 9 | 72 | 14.71\% | 10 | 68 | 7.25\% | 5 | 69 |
| Western North Carolina | 4.26\% | 11 | 258 | 3.80\% | 10 | 263 | 5.54\% | 15 | 271 |
| Western Pennsylvania | 2.83\% | 3 | 106 | 1.94\% | 2 | 103 | 2.08\% | 2 | 96 |
| Wisconsin | 4.21\% | 4 | 95 | 2.25\% | 2 | 89 | 2.33\% | 2 | 86 |
| Wyoming | 4.40\% | 4 | 91 | 1.23\% | 1 | 81 | 1.49\% | 1 | 67 |
| Yellowstone | 0.00\% | 0 | 24 | 0.00\% | 0 | 26 | 0.00\% | 0 | 25 |
| Total | 5.80\% | 426 | 7,341 | 5.37\% | 397 | 7,392 | 5.26\% | 367 | 6,981 |

## Presence of Local Pastors under 35 by 2010 Percentage 2008 to 2010

| CONFERENCE NAME | \% under 35 in 2010 | $\begin{array}{\|c} \# \text { under } \\ 35 \text { in } \\ 2010 \end{array}$ | Local Pastors <br> Total $2010$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { \% } \\ \text { under } \\ 35 \text { in } \\ 2009 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \# \text { under } \\ 35 \text { in } \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ | Local Pastors <br> Total 2009 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { \% } \\ \text { under } \\ 35 \text { in } \\ 2008 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \# \text { under } \\ 35 \text { in } \\ 2008 \end{array}$ | Local Pastors Total 2008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Western New York | 12.50\% | 9 | 72 | 14.71\% | 10 | 68 | 7.25\% | 5 | 69 |
| Northwest Texas | 11.76\% | 3 | 51 | 8.51\% | 4 | 47 | 8.70\% | 4 | 46 |
| Kansas East | 10.94\% | 7 | 64 | 9.09\% | 6 | 66 | 10.45\% | 7 | 67 |
| Oklahoma | 10.85\% | 14 | 129 | 9.60\% | 12 | 125 | 7.02\% | 8 | 114 |
| South Georgia | 10.53\% | 16 | 152 | 5.48\% | 8 | 146 | 6.62\% | 9 | 136 |
| Southwest Texas | 10.48\% | 13 | 124 | 8.62\% | 10 | 116 | 4.63\% | 5 | 108 |
| Kentucky | 9.88\% | 16 | 162 | 6.50\% | 8 | 123 | 6.50\% | 8 | 123 |
| California-Pacific | 9.86\% | 7 | 71 | 12.66\% | 10 | 79 | 12.33\% | 9 | 73 |
| Memphis | 8.47\% | 5 | 59 | 8.06\% | 5 | 62 | 12.31\% | 8 | 65 |
| North Alabama | 8.27\% | 22 | 266 | 6.20\% | 16 | 258 | 4.44\% | 11 | 248 |
| Baltimore-Washington | 8.16\% | 8 | 98 | 3.96\% | 4 | 101 | 4.12\% | 4 | 97 |
| Kansas West | 8.00\% | 6 | 75 | 5.33\% | 4 | 75 | 0.00\% | 0 | 67 |
| West Ohio | 7.81\% | 10 | 128 | 6.52\% | 9 | 138 | 6.43\% | 9 | 140 |
| Texas | 7.60\% | 13 | 171 | 5.23\% | 9 | 172 | 7.39\% | 13 | 176 |
| North Georgia | 7.48\% | 24 | 321 | 7.30\% | 23 | 315 | 6.78\% | 20 | 295 |
| Oregon-Idaho | 7.14\% | 2 | 28 | 14.29\% | 4 | 28 | 16.00\% | 4 | 25 |
| Pacific Northwest | 7.14\% | 2 | 28 | 11.54\% | 3 | 26 | 4.55\% | 1 | 22 |
| Alabama-West Florida | 7.02\% | 12 | 171 | 7.98\% | 13 | 163 | 6.76\% | 10 | 148 |
| New York | 7.02\% | 4 | 57 | 8.62\% | 5 | 58 | 9.84\% | 6 | 61 |
| Nebraska | 6.94\% | 5 | 72 | 4.55\% | 3 | 66 | 3.45\% | 2 | 58 |
| Missouri | 6.90\% | 16 | 232 | 8.48\% | 19 | 224 | 9.01\% | 21 | 233 |
| Central Pennsylvania | 6.25\% | 10 | 160 | 8.92\% | 14 | 157 | 7.53\% | 11 | 146 |
| Tennessee | 5.99\% | 10 | 167 | 7.41\% | 12 | 162 | 6.29\% | 10 | 159 |
| California-Nevada | 5.88\% | 3 | 51 | 3.70\% | 2 | 54 | 1.85\% | 1 | 54 |
| Florida | 5.81\% | 10 | 172 | 4.00\% | 6 | 150 | 3.47\% | 5 | 144 |
| Total | 5.80\% | 426 | 7,341 | 5.37\% | 397 | 7,392 | 5.26\% | 367 | 6,981 |
| East Ohio | 5.71\% | 12 | 210 | 2.55\% | 5 | 196 | 2.58\% | 5 | 194 |
| Mississippi | 5.67\% | 16 | 282 | 4.94\% | 12 | 243 | 3.40\% | 8 | 235 |
| OK Indian Missionary | 5.56\% | 1 | 18 | 16.00\% | 4 | 25 | 11.11\% | 3 | 27 |
| Virginia | 5.45\% | 15 | 275 | 5.73\% | 16 | 279 | 6.60\% | 19 | 288 |
| Indiana | 5.39\% | 9 | 167 | 2.88\% | 12 | 416 | 5.79\% | 11 | 190 |
| Louisiana | 5.33\% | 8 | 150 | 9.80\% | 15 | 153 | 8.05\% | 12 | 149 |

Presence of Local Pastors under 35
by 2010 Percentage 2008 to 2010, continued

| CONFERENCE NAME | \% under 35 in 2010 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \begin{array}{c} \# \text { under } \\ 35 \text { in } \\ 2010 \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Local Pastors Total 2010 | \% under 35 in 2009 | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c} \# \text { under } \\ 35 \text { in } \\ 2009 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | Local Pastors Total 2009 | $\%$ under 35 in 2008 | \# under 35 in 2008 | Local <br> Pastors <br> Total 2008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Illinois Great Rivers | 5.14\% | 9 | 175 | 5.62\% | 10 | 178 | 4.09\% | 7 | 171 |
| New England | 5.00\% | 6 | 120 | 4.50\% | 5 | 111 | 3.23\% | 3 | 93 |
| Greater New Jersey | 4.88\% | 8 | 164 | 6.62\% | 10 | 151 | 6.72\% | 9 | 134 |
| Minnesota | 4.65\% | 2 | 43 | 6.98\% | 3 | 43 | 0.00\% | 0 | 39 |
| Northern Illinois | 4.62\% | 6 | 65 | 2.86\% | 2 | 70 | 1.41\% | 1 | 71 |
| Wyoming | 4.40\% | 4 | 91 | 1.23\% | 1 | 81 | 1.49\% | 1 | 67 |
| Western North Carolina | 4.26\% | 11 | 258 | 3.80\% | 10 | 263 | 5.54\% | 15 | 271 |
| Wisconsin | 4.21\% | 4 | 95 | 2.25\% | 2 | 89 | 2.33\% | 2 | 86 |
| Holston | 4.17\% | 6 | 144 | 3.25\% | 5 | 154 | 6.63\% | 11 | 166 |
| West Virginia | 4.17\% | 10 | 240 | 3.75\% | 9 | 240 | 2.95\% | 7 | 237 |
| North Texas | 4.00\% | 4 | 100 | 4.17\% | 4 | 96 | 6.28\% | 6 | 88 |
| Arkansas | 3.98\% | 8 | 201 | 3.72\% | 7 | 188 | 4.74\% | 9 | 190 |
| South Carolina | 3.98\% | 8 | 201 | 4.32\% | 8 | 185 | 3.65\% | 7 | 192 |
| North Carolina | 3.88\% | 8 | 206 | 5.74\% | 12 | 209 | 8.17\% | 17 | 208 |
| Peninsula-Delaware | 3.70\% | 3 | 81 | 1.28\% | 1 | 78 | 0.00\% | 0 | 77 |
| Desert Southwest | 3.45\% | 1 | 29 | 0.00\% | 0 | 28 | 0.00\% | 0 | 26 |
| Dakotas | 3.03\% | 1 | 33 | 5.13\% | 2 | 39 | 6.45\% | 2 | 31 |
| lowa | 2.94\% | 5 | 170 | 3.78\% | 7 | 185 | 3.21\% | 6 | 187 |
| West Michigan | 2.88\% | 3 | 104 | 2.88\% | 3 | 104 | 1.98\% | 2 | 101 |
| Eastern Pennsylvania | 2.86\% | 4 | 140 | 5.13\% | 6 | 117 | 2.83\% | 3 | 106 |
| Western Pennsylvania | 2.83\% | 3 | 106 | 1.94\% | 2 | 103 | 2.08\% | 2 | 96 |
| Central Texas | 2.70\% | 1 | 37 | 5.41\% | 2 | 37 | 7.50\% | 3 | 40 |
| Troy | 1.96\% | 1 | 51 | 0.00\% | 0 | 50 | 1.96\% | 1 | 51 |
| Detroit | 1.15\% | 1 | 87 | 2.20\% | 2 | 91 | 1.11\% | 1 | 90 |
| North Central New York | 1.11\% | 1 | 90 | 0.00\% | 0 | 83 | 1.31\% | 1 | 76 |
| Alaska Missionary | 0.00\% | 0 | 2 | 25.00\% | 1 | 4 | 0.00\% | 0 | 2 |
| New Mexico | 0.00\% | 0 | 27 | 0.00\% | 0 | 24 | 0.00\% | 0 | 24 |
| Red Bird Missionary | 0.00\% | 0 | 6 | 0.00\% | 0 | 9 | 0.00\% | 0 | 9 |
| Rio Grande | 0.00\% | 0 | 18 | 0.00\% | 0 | 19 | 0.00\% | 0 | 16 |
| Rocky Mountain | 0.00\% | 0 | 50 | 0.00\% | 0 | 46 | 4.26\% | 2 | 47 |
| Yellowstone | 0.00\% | 0 | 24 | 0.00\% | 0 | 26 | 0.00\% | 0 | 25 |


|  | Elders |  |  |  | Deacons |  |  |  | Local Pastors |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CONFERENCE NAME | $\begin{gathered} \text { Under } \\ 35 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35 \text { to } \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \text { to } \\ 72 \end{gathered}$ | Total | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Under } \\ 35 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 35 \text { to } \\ 54 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \text { to } \\ 72 \end{gathered}$ | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Under } \\ 35 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35 \text { to } \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline 55 \text { to } \\ 72 \end{array}$ | Total |
| Alabama-West Florida | 23 | 140 | 121 | 284 | 7 | 24 | 6 | 37 | 12 | 67 | 92 | 171 |
| Alaska Missionary | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Arkansas | 22 | 126 | 124 | 272 | 0 | 7 | 9 | 16 | 8 | 65 | 128 | 201 |
| Baltimore-Washington | 17 | 171 | 219 | 407 | 0 | 13 | 10 | 23 | 8 | 33 | 57 | 98 |
| California-Nevada | 9 | 123 | 175 | 307 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 7 | 3 | 16 | 32 | 51 |
| California-Pacific | 10 | 187 | 181 | 378 | 1 | 5 | 11 | 17 | 7 | 35 | 29 | 71 |
| Central Pennsylvania | 18 | 145 | 144 | 307 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 10 | 66 | 84 | 160 |
| Central Texas | 25 | 101 | 126 | 252 | 3 | 10 | 13 | 26 | 1 | 18 | 18 | 37 |
| Dakotas | 7 | 61 | 80 | 148 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 16 | 16 | 33 |
| Desert Southwest | 4 | 49 | 79 | 132 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 11 | 1 | 11 | 17 | 29 |
| Detroit | 11 | 125 | 152 | 288 | 4 | 8 | 6 | 18 | 1 | 23 | 63 | 87 |
| East Ohio | 14 | 158 | 194 | 366 | 1 | 4 | 11 | 16 | 12 | 84 | 114 | 210 |
| Eastern Pennsylvania | 5 | 137 | 152 | 294 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 58 | 78 | 140 |
| Florida | 19 | 237 | 233 | 489 | 2 | 11 | 17 | 30 | 10 | 74 | 88 | 172 |
| Greater New Jersey | 17 | 164 | 210 | 391 | 3 | 4 | 11 | 18 | 8 | 61 | 95 | 164 |
| Holston | 32 | 160 | 145 | 337 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 13 | 6 | 55 | 83 | 144 |
| Illinois Great Rivers | 21 | 167 | 183 | 371 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 10 | 9 | 65 | 101 | 175 |
| Indiana | 34 | 249 | 308 | 591 | 3 | 9 | 7 | 19 | 9 | 73 | 85 | 167 |
| Iowa | 19 | 167 | 209 | 395 | 2 | 9 | 2 | 13 | 5 | 61 | 104 | 170 |
| Kansas East | 7 | 59 | 89 | 155 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 8 | 7 | 22 | 35 | 64 |
| Kansas West | 11 | 62 | 102 | 175 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 11 | 6 | 29 | 40 | 75 |
| Kentucky | 12 | 126 | 132 | 270 | 1 | 12 | 14 | 27 | 16 | 74 | 72 | 162 |
| Louisiana | 24 | 108 | 99 | 231 | 2 | 6 | 14 | 22 | 8 | 56 | 86 | 150 |
| Memphis | 8 | 65 | 104 | 177 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 12 | 5 | 25 | 29 | 59 |
| Minnesota | 17 | 113 | 122 | 252 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 15 | 2 | 18 | 23 | 43 |
| Mississippi | 41 | 163 | 165 | 369 | 2 | 14 | 9 | 25 | 16 | 121 | 145 | 282 |
| Missouri | 22 | 159 | 150 | 331 | 0 | 11 | 9 | 20 | 16 | 99 | 117 | 232 |
| Nebraska | 4 | 65 | 95 | 164 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 24 | 43 | 72 |
| New England | 5 | 123 | 168 | 296 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 47 | 67 | 120 |
| New Mexico | 5 | 45 | 49 | 99 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 19 | 27 |
| New York | 8 | 117 | 198 | 323 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 24 | 29 | 57 |
| North Alabama | 26 | 167 | 139 | 332 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 13 | 22 | 112 | 132 | 266 |


|  | Elders |  |  |  | Deacons |  |  |  | Local Pastors |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CONFERENCE NAME | Under 35 | $\begin{gathered} 35 \text { to } \\ 54 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \text { to } \\ 72 \end{gathered}$ | Total | Under 35 | $\begin{gathered} 35 \text { to } \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \text { to } \\ 72 \end{gathered}$ | Total | Under 35 | $\begin{gathered} 35 \text { to } \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \text { to } \\ 72 \end{gathered}$ | Total |
| North Carolina | 32 | 221 | 200 | 453 | 0 | 9 | 15 | 24 | 8 | 80 | 118 | 206 |
| North Central New York | 5 | 46 | 76 | 127 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 30 | 59 | 90 |
| North Georgia | 37 | 283 | 255 | 575 | 10 | 25 | 19 | 54 | 24 | 153 | 144 | 321 |
| North Texas | 9 | 135 | 129 | 273 | 3 | 22 | 13 | 38 | 4 | 46 | 50 | 100 |
| Northern Illinois | 18 | 147 | 150 | 315 | 5 | 9 | 5 | 19 | 6 | 21 | 24 | 51 |
| Northwest Texas | 9 | 54 | 55 | 118 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 29 | 33 | 65 |
| Oklahoma | 30 | 145 | 154 | 329 | 7 | 15 | 16 | 38 | 14 | 47 | 68 | 129 |
| OK Indian Missionary | 0 | 2 | 12 | 14 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 10 | 18 |
| Oregon-Idaho | 9 | 61 | 95 | 165 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 9 | 2 | 7 | 19 | 28 |
| Pacific Northwest | 8 | 84 | 118 | 210 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 9 | 2 | 10 | 16 | 28 |
| Peninsula-Delaware | 3 | 71 | 102 | 176 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 29 | 49 | 81 |
| Red Bird Missionary | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 6 |
| Rio Grande | 0 | 22 | 19 | 41 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 11 | 18 |
| Rocky Mountain | 11 | 86 | 118 | 215 | 0 | 12 | 12 | 24 | 0 | 22 | 28 | 50 |
| South Carolina | 27 | 184 | 251 | 462 | 3 | 14 | 9 | 26 | 8 | 67 | 126 | 201 |
| South Georgia | 18 | 129 | 141 | 288 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 12 | 16 | 70 | 66 | 152 |
| Southwest Texas | 8 | 90 | 136 | 234 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 13 | 13 | 43 | 68 | 124 |
| Tennessee | 17 | 85 | 103 | 205 | 6 | 16 | 12 | 34 | 10 | 74 | 83 | 167 |
| Texas | 23 | 206 | 194 | 423 | 1 | 8 | 18 | 27 | 13 | 63 | 95 | 171 |
| Troy | 2 | 33 | 61 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 18 | 32 | 51 |
| Virginia | 56 | 302 | 309 | 667 | 1 | 12 | 11 | 24 | 15 | 108 | 152 | 275 |
| West Michigan | 4 | 94 | 110 | 208 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 33 | 68 | 104 |
| West Ohio | 14 | 299 | 271 | 584 | 2 | 12 | 8 | 22 | 10 | 54 | 64 | 128 |
| West Virginia | 16 | 102 | 122 | 240 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 11 | 10 | 80 | 150 | 240 |
| Western New York | 4 | 54 | 68 | 126 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 35 | 28 | 72 |
| Western North Carolina | 57 | 377 | 322 | 756 | 6 | 24 | 16 | 46 | 11 | 128 | 119 | 258 |
| Western Pennsylvania | 16 | 172 | 190 | 378 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 9 | 3 | 43 | 60 | 106 |
| Wisconsin | 12 | 110 | 142 | 264 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 40 | 51 | 95 |
| Wyoming | 3 | 48 | 63 | 114 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 40 | 47 | 91 |
| Yellowstone | 1 | 14 | 33 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 20 | 24 |
| Total | 946 | 7,698 | 8,649 | 17,293 | 89 | 419 | 422 | 930 | 426 | 2,932 | 3,983 | 7,341 |


|  | Elders |  |  | Deacons |  |  | Local Pastors |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CONFERENCE NAME | Under 35 | 35 to <br> 54 | $\begin{gathered} 55 \text { to } \\ 72 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Under 35 | $\begin{gathered} 35 \text { to } \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \text { to } \\ 72 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Under 35 | $\begin{gathered} 35 \text { to } \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 55 \text { to } \\ 72 \end{array}$ |
| Alabama-West Florida | 8\% | 49\% | 43\% | 19\% | 65\% | 16\% | 7\% | 39\% | 54\% |
| Alaska Missionary | 0\% | 75\% | 25\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% |
| Arkansas | 8\% | 46\% | 46\% | 0\% | 44\% | 56\% | 4\% | 32\% | 64\% |
| Baltimore-Washington | 4\% | 42\% | 54\% | 0\% | 57\% | 43\% | 8\% | 34\% | 58\% |
| California-Nevada | 3\% | 40\% | 57\% | 0\% | 57\% | 43\% | 6\% | 31\% | 63\% |
| California-Pacific | 3\% | 49\% | 48\% | 6\% | 29\% | 65\% | 10\% | 49\% | 41\% |
| Central Pennsylvania | 6\% | 47\% | 47\% | 14\% | 29\% | 57\% | 6\% | 41\% | 53\% |
| Central Texas | 10\% | 40\% | 50\% | 12\% | 38\% | 50\% | 3\% | 49\% | 49\% |
| Dakotas | 5\% | 41\% | 54\% | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% | 3\% | 48\% | 48\% |
| Desert Southwest | 3\% | 37\% | 60\% | 0\% | 36\% | 64\% | 3\% | 38\% | 59\% |
| Detroit | 4\% | 43\% | 53\% | 22\% | 44\% | 33\% | 1\% | 26\% | 72\% |
| East Ohio | 4\% | 43\% | 53\% | 6\% | 25\% | 69\% | 6\% | 40\% | 54\% |
| Eastern Pennsylvania | 2\% | 47\% | 52\% | 0\% | 67\% | 33\% | 3\% | 41\% | 56\% |
| Florida | 4\% | 48\% | 48\% | 7\% | 37\% | 57\% | 6\% | 43\% | 51\% |
| Greater New Jersey | 4\% | 42\% | 54\% | 17\% | 22\% | 61\% | 5\% | 37\% | 58\% |
| Holston | 9\% | 47\% | 43\% | 15\% | 31\% | 54\% | 4\% | 38\% | 58\% |
| Illinois Great Rivers | 6\% | 45\% | 49\% | 0\% | 20\% | 80\% | 5\% | 37\% | 58\% |
| Indiana | 6\% | 42\% | 52\% | 16\% | 47\% | 37\% | 5\% | 44\% | 51\% |
| lowa | 5\% | 42\% | 53\% | 15\% | 69\% | 15\% | 3\% | 36\% | 61\% |
| Kansas East | 5\% | 38\% | 57\% | 13\% | 75\% | 13\% | 11\% | 34\% | 55\% |
| Kansas West | 6\% | 35\% | 58\% | 0\% | 27\% | 73\% | 8\% | 39\% | 53\% |
| Kentucky | 4\% | 47\% | 49\% | 4\% | 44\% | 52\% | 10\% | 46\% | 44\% |
| Louisiana | 10\% | 47\% | 43\% | 9\% | 27\% | 64\% | 5\% | 37\% | 57\% |
| Memphis | 5\% | 37\% | 59\% | 8\% | 83\% | 8\% | 8\% | 42\% | 49\% |
| Minnesota | 7\% | 45\% | 48\% | 20\% | 40\% | 40\% | 5\% | 42\% | 53\% |
| Mississippi | 11\% | 44\% | 45\% | 8\% | 56\% | 36\% | 6\% | 43\% | 51\% |
| Missouri | 7\% | 48\% | 45\% | 0\% | 55\% | 45\% | 7\% | 43\% | 50\% |
| Nebraska | 2\% | 40\% | 58\% | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% | 7\% | 33\% | 60\% |
| New England | 2\% | 42\% | 57\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 5\% | 39\% | 56\% |
| New Mexico | 5\% | 45\% | 49\% | 0\% | 25\% | 75\% | 0\% | 30\% | 70\% |
| New York | 2\% | 36\% | 61\% | 0\% | 20\% | 80\% | 7\% | 42\% | 51\% |
| North Alabama | 8\% | 50\% | 42\% | 15\% | 46\% | 38\% | 8\% | 42\% | 50\% |


|  | Elders |  |  | Deacons |  |  | Local Pastors |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CONFERENCE NAME | Under 35 | $\begin{gathered} 35 \text { to } \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \text { to } \\ 72 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Under 35 | $\begin{array}{\|c} 35 \text { to } \\ 54 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \text { to } \\ 72 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Under 35 | $\begin{gathered} 35 \text { to } \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 55 \text { to } \\ 72 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| North Carolina | 7\% | 49\% | 44\% | 0\% | 38\% | 63\% | 4\% | 39\% | 57\% |
| North Central New York | 4\% | 36\% | 60\% | 0\% | 75\% | 25\% | 1\% | 33\% | 66\% |
| North Georgia | 6\% | 49\% | 44\% | 19\% | 46\% | 35\% | 7\% | 48\% | 45\% |
| North Texas | 3\% | 49\% | 47\% | 8\% | 58\% | 34\% | 4\% | 46\% | 50\% |
| Northern Illinois | 6\% | 47\% | 48\% | 26\% | 47\% | 26\% | 12\% | 41\% | 47\% |
| Northwest Texas | 8\% | 46\% | 47\% | 0\% | 60\% | 40\% | 5\% | 45\% | 51\% |
| Oklahoma | 9\% | 44\% | 47\% | 18\% | 39\% | 42\% | 11\% | 36\% | 53\% |
| OK Indian Missionary | 0\% | 14\% | 86\% | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% | 6\% | 39\% | 56\% |
| Oregon-Idaho | 5\% | 37\% | 58\% | 0\% | 22\% | 78\% | 7\% | 25\% | 68\% |
| Pacific Northwest | 4\% | 40\% | 56\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 7\% | 36\% | 57\% |
| Peninsula-Delaware | 2\% | 40\% | 58\% | 0\% | 33\% | 67\% | 4\% | 36\% | 60\% |
| Red Bird Missionary | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 67\% | 33\% |
| Rio Grande | 0\% | 54\% | 46\% | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 39\% | 61\% |
| Rocky Mountain | 5\% | 40\% | 55\% | 0\% | 50\% | 50\% | 0\% | 44\% | 56\% |
| South Carolina | 6\% | 40\% | 54\% | 12\% | 54\% | 35\% | 4\% | 33\% | 63\% |
| South Georgia | 6\% | 45\% | 49\% | 17\% | 50\% | 33\% | 11\% | 46\% | 43\% |
| Southwest Texas | 3\% | 38\% | 58\% | 8\% | 38\% | 54\% | 10\% | 35\% | 55\% |
| Tennessee | 8\% | 41\% | 50\% | 18\% | 47\% | 35\% | 6\% | 44\% | 50\% |
| Texas | 5\% | 49\% | 46\% | 4\% | 30\% | 67\% | 8\% | 37\% | 56\% |
| Troy | 2\% | 34\% | 64\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 2\% | 35\% | 63\% |
| Virginia | 8\% | 45\% | 46\% | 4\% | 50\% | 46\% | 5\% | 39\% | 55\% |
| West Michigan | 2\% | 45\% | 53\% | 0\% | 80\% | 20\% | 3\% | 32\% | 65\% |
| West Ohio | 2\% | 51\% | 46\% | 9\% | 55\% | 36\% | 8\% | 42\% | 50\% |
| West Virginia | 7\% | 43\% | 51\% | 0\% | 18\% | 82\% | 4\% | 33\% | 63\% |
| Western New York | 3\% | 43\% | 54\% | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% | 13\% | 49\% | 39\% |
| Western North Carolina | 8\% | 50\% | 43\% | 13\% | 52\% | 35\% | 4\% | 50\% | 46\% |
| Western Pennsylvania | 4\% | 46\% | 50\% | 0\% | 11\% | 89\% | 3\% | 41\% | 57\% |
| Wisconsin | 5\% | 42\% | 54\% | 0\% | 40\% | 60\% | 4\% | 42\% | 54\% |
| Wyoming | 3\% | 42\% | 55\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 4\% | 44\% | 52\% |
| Yellowstone | 2\% | 29\% | 69\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% | 17\% | 83\% |
| Total | 5\% | 45\% | 50\% | 10\% | 45\% | 45\% | 6\% | 40\% | 54\% |


#### Abstract

About the Lewis Center for Church Leadership Established by Wesley Theological Seminary in 2003, the Lewis Center for Church Leadership has worked to help the United Methodist Church address its current challenges. The Center is building a vision for church leadership grounded in faith, informed by knowledge, and exercised in effective action. It seeks a holistic understanding of Christian leadership that brings together theology and management, scholarship and practice, research and application.

Committed to the broad goal of helping the church reach more people, younger people, and more diverse people, the Center focuses on improving leadership effectiveness and providing actionable insights and best practices to promote effective ministry. The Center seeks to be a trusted resource for church leadership, helping congregations and denominations serve, thrive, and grow. The Center staff of six, along with other researchers and consultants who assist with special projects, also draws on the expertise of the entire Wesley faculty and a wide array of gifted practitioners.


## Leading Ideas Online Newsletter

Leading Ideas is a free bi-weekly online newsletter of the Lewis Center for Church Leadership. Thousands of clergy and lay leaders from across the U.S. and around the world make use of this important leadership resource.

Subscribe at www.churchleadership.com.


Lewis Center
for Church Leadership
Building a New Vision for Church Leadership

Wesley Theological Seminary 4500 Massachusetts Ave., NW

Washington, DC 20016
202-885-8757
www.churchleadership.com
lewiscenter@wesleyseminary.edu

